

Your submission to Proposed National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land

Ordinary People [REDACTED]

Auckland

New Zealand
[REDACTED]

Reference no: 2

Clause

Does the RMA framework provide sufficient clarity and direction on how highly productive land should be managed? Why/why not?

Notes

This document does not state the obvious & well know considerations concerning farmland: New Zealand exports a vast majority of it's farmed production overseas. Only the worst quality products are actually sold inland. The term 'highly productive' land suggests that this somehow benefits New Zealand-as-a-whole, while everyone doing the weekly groceries feels and tastes what this 'highly productive' land truly yields for NZ-residents. Nothing short of the worst quality leftovers, sold ridiculously overpriced, compared to the quality and unit price of these same products, sold overseas. This document is not helping people living in New Zealand, but tries to identify yet another hurdle to slow down building of housing. Housing: the true 'high value products' for NZ-residents! If NZ government would agree to adopt this tunnel-visioned document, adding yet another useless form of complexity to the consideration process of what land can be build on, it is truly doing the opposite of what this country needs it government to do. NZ needs less building rules & complexity, not more.

You have elected to withhold your personal details from publication.