

Your submission to Proposed National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land

[REDACTED]
Auckland
New Zealand
[REDACTED]

Reference no: 55

Clause

Does the RMA framework provide sufficient clarity and direction on how highly productive land should be managed? Why/why not?

Notes

Insufficient clarity as highlighted in the decision of Joe and Fay Gock v Auckland Council [2019] NZHC 276

Clause

How is highly productive land currently considered when providing urban expansion? Can you provide examples?

Notes

Again highlighted in the decision of Joe and Fay Gock v Auckland Council [2019] NZHC 276

Clause

How should highly productive land be considered when planning for future urban expansion?

Notes

Before urbanising productive land, the historical or customary māori use of such land and fertile soils for mara kai food gardens should be considered. Such soils were utilised to support traditional kainga (villages) or pre-colonial settlements in the area in addition to post-colonial use (growing produce and raising livestock for the purposes of trade.)

Clause

How should highly productive land be considered when identifying areas for urban expansion?

Notes

Before considering productive land for urban expansion, the historical or customary māori use of such land and fertile soils for mara kai food gardens should be considered. Such soils were utilised to support traditional kainga (villages) or pre-colonial settlements in the area in addition to post-colonial use (growing produce and raising livestock for the purposes of trade.)

Clause

Should the National Policy Statement include policies that must be inserted into policy statements and plans without going through the Schedule 1 process? What are the potential benefits and risks?

Notes

This should be considered as in large urban centres such as Tāmaki Makaurau there may be increased activity from landowners as a direct response to the development of this NPS

Clause

How can the proposed National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land best align and complement the requirements of the proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development?

Notes

In relation to greenfield development

Clause

Should these policies be directly inserted into plans without going through the Schedule 1 process (i.e. as a transitional policy until each council gives effect to the National Policy Statement)? What are the potential benefits and risks?

Notes

Yes as in Tāmaki Makaurau there may be plan change requests put forward in response to the NPS

You have elected to withhold your personal details from publication.