

Review of New Zealand specific FRAC_{GASM} and FRAC_{GASF} emissions factors

MAF Technical Paper No: 2011/32

Prepared for Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry By Centre for Soil and Environmental Quality, Lincoln University October 2008

Authors: R Sherlock, P Jewell, T Clough

ISSN 2230-2794 (online) ISBN 978-0-478-37594-7 (online)

April 2011







Disclaimer

The information in this publication is not government policy. While every effort has been made to ensure the information is accurate, the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry does not accept any responsibility or liability for error of fact, omission, interpretation or opinion that may be present, nor for the consequences of any decisions based on this information. Any view or opinion expressed does not necessarily represent the view of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Publisher

Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry PO Box 2526 Pastoral House, 25 The Terrace Wellington 6140 www.maf.govt.nz

Telephone: 0800 008 333

Facsimile: +64 4 894 0300

© Crown Copyright April 2011 – Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry

Final Report:

Review of New Zealand Specific $Frac_{GASM}$ and Frac_{GASF} Emissions Factors

(Project Code CC MAF POL_0708-72)

to

Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry

October 2008

(Reprinted post peer review, January 2009)

Prepared by

R. Sherlock, P. Jewell, T. Clough

Centre for Soil and Environmental Quality Lincoln University

Executive Summary

Frac_{GASM} and Frac_{GASF} are important factors within national nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions inventories. These factors represent the proportions of manure-N and fertiliser-N respectively that are released into the atmosphere, principally as ammonia, NH₃, to become indirect sources of N₂O when re-deposited on land surfaces elsewhere. Currently the NZ N₂O inventory uses the IPCC defaults of 0.2 and 0.1 for $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$ respectively. The use of 0.2 for $Frac_{GASM}$ in New Zealand's N₂O inventory recently came under the scrutiny of the 'Expert Review Team' (ERT) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) secretariat. Amongst other things, the ERT encouraged NZ to: "*investigate a country-specific Frac_{GASM} or document why the IPCC default value is considered appropriate for New Zealand conditions*". This current review forms part of that investigation.

In this review we have attempted to locate and scrutinise all (mostly field-based) studies of relevance to the magnitudes of $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASM}$ and $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASF}$ as used in NZ's current N₂O inventory. Following a brief introduction (section1) sections 2 and 3 focus on the factors influencing the production and emission of NH_{3(g)} and NOx_(g)¹ from soils and also provide an overview of the techniques employed for their measurement. Sections 4 and 5 then focus respectively on international and local (NZ) studies of relevance to $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASM}$. In section 6 we review international and NZ data on NH_{3(g)} emissions from mainly urea and diammonium phosphate fertilisers and then follow that in section 7 with a review of NOx emissions from animal excreta and fertiliser applied to pasture. Section 8 summarises all major findings and recommendations.

We found that overseas (especially European) studies of $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions during grazing, can be used to assist in the re-evaluation of a country-specific $Frac_{GASM}$ for NZ. In most European countries, $NH_{3(g)}$ emitted from pasture soils following grazing is just one of several sources contributing to their reported $Frac_{GASM}$ inventory values, whereas in NZ, 97 % of all livestock urine and dung is deposited directly on soils during grazing and the $NH_{3(g)}$ derived from that source dominates NZ's $Frac_{GASM}$. Using mean international NH_3 -N emission data from urine and dung affected pasture soils (obtained from 45 separate chamber

 $^{^{1}}$ Nitric oxide gas, $NO_{(g)},$ and nitrogen dioxide gas, $NO_{2(g)}$ are collectively referred to as 'NOx'.

and wind tunnel studies) the proportion of excreted-N emitted as NH_3 -N was estimated as 9.3 (SD ~ 4.5) %. This equates to a $Frac_{GASM}$ value of 0.093 (or 0.094 if the very minor contribution due to NOx is included). The same calculation performed on the 23 reported chamber measurements of urine and dung carried out in NZ indicates 12.3 % of excreted-N is emitted as NH_3 -N. That value equates to a $Frac_{GASM}$ of 0.123 (or 0.124 when the very minor contribution due to NOx emissions is included). On the basis of these two values alone (0.094 and 0.124; the weighted mean of which is 0.10) the first of four recommendations is made:

Recommendation 1:

A New Zealand specific value of 0.1 for Frac_{GASM} be considered for adoption.

Note that changing $Frac_{GASM}$ from 0.2 to 0.1 reduces NZ's 2006 N₂O inventory by *ca* 6% from 41.075 to 38.620 Gg N₂O. This difference of 2.455 Gg N₂O equates to 761.05 Gg CO₂-e.

Recommendation 1 is based on chamber and wind tunnel measurements only which some researchers maintain tend to maximise potential emissions of $NH_{3(g)}$. When we examine instead the dataset of international "whole system" non-chamber measurements of NH_{3(g)} emissions from grazed pastures we show that a case can be made for an even larger reduction in NZ's Frac_{GASM} value. From 47 grazing events by cattle on heavily fertilised perennial ryegrass mainly in England and Holland, a weighted mean value of 9.6 % of the excreta-N was emitted as NH₃-N. While this is remarkably similar to the two values derived independently above (i.e. 9.3 & 12.3 %), at lower rates of fertilisation more typical of what might be encountered in NZ, 23 documented grazing events gave a weighted mean value of just 4.2 % of the excreta-N emitted as NH₃-N. And from 9 studies involving beef cattle or dairy cows grazing unfertilised grass/clover pastures a slightly lower weighted mean of 3.3 % was obtained. These last two values bracket the only similar study carried out on unfertilised ryegrass-clover pasture in NZ (4.0 %). From these "whole system", nonchamber studies, it could be argued that a NZ specific value of ~ 0.04 for Frac_{GASM} might be even more appropriate than the value (0.1) based on chamber and wind tunnel data alone. But such a recommendation is premature at this time since the number of local non-chamber based studies of NH_{3(g)} emissions from grazed pastures are very limited. We therefore make our second recommendation:

Recommendation 2:

Research be supported on "whole system" non-chamber measurements of grazed pasture systems to further refine the New Zealand specific value for Frac_{GASM}

Should future research justify a reduction of $Frac_{GASM}$ to ~ 0.04, the 2006 inventory would reduce by 3.928 Gg N₂O which equates to 1,218 Gg CO₂-equivalents.

With regard to Frac_{GASF} we note that urea is the dominant N-fertiliser used in NZ $(\sim 80\%)$ with the remaining 20% being mainly diammonium phosphate (DAP). In addition, most N-fertiliser is used to boost pasture production in dairying. Our review of international and local studies of relevance to NZ's Frac_{GASF} revealed a 'rate of application effect' for urea whereby $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions increased from ~ 11 % of the urea-N applied at 30 kgN/ha to 33 % of the urea-N applied at (albeit unrealistically high) rates of 300 kgN/ha. At the rates of N-fertiliser typically applied in single applications to NZ pasture (≤ 45 kgN/ha) the weighted mean value for the NH_{3(g)} emissions from 19 separate studies following urea-N application to pasture soil was 10.8 % (SD ~ 2.9 %). Under NZ conditions, allowing for the (~ 20 %) use of DAP and its lower potential emission factor (4.6 %), the weighted mean $NH_{3(g)}$ emission from these two fertilisers is estimated as 9.6 % of the applied fertiliser-N. This equates to a Frac_{GASF} value for NZ of 0.096 (or 0.099 when the minor contribution due to NOx emissions is included). That final value is essentially identical to the value for $Frac_{GASF}$ that NZ currently uses (0.1). We believe these findings from the international and NZ studies reviewed here, provide sufficient justification for this third recommendation:

Recommendation 3:

A New Zealand specific value of 0.1 for Frac_{GASF} be considered for adoption.

Finally we note that reductions in $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from urea fertiliser are possible through the use of urease inhibitors. Use of that technology could probably contribute further, modest (e.g. 32 Gg CO₂-e) reductions to NZ's GHG emissions inventory. However, more local measurements would be needed to underpin and support any move to include urease inhibitor use within NZ's GHG inventory. We therefore suggest a fourth and final recommendation:

Recommendation 4:

Research be supported to explore the efficacy of urease inhibitors to reduce $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from urea fertiliser in New Zealand, with a view of further refining NZ's $Frac_{GASF}$ value.

		Contents	Page
Executive Sum	mary		(i)
Contents			(v)
List of Tables			(vi)
Section 1	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Context of the study	1
	1.2	Approach	2
Section 2	The a	mmonia volatilisation process in pastoral agriculture	4
	2.1	NH ₃ volatilisation from urine in grazed pastures	4
	2.2	NH ₃ volatilisation from dung excreted onto grazed pastures	6
	2.3	NH ₃ volatilisation from surface applied N-containing fertilisers	7
	2.4	NH ₃ volatilisation measurement methodology	9
Section 3	Overv	view of the NOx producing mechanisms in soils	13
	3.1	Biological production of $NO_{(g)}$ in soils	13
	3.2	Abiotic production of NO _(g) in soils	15
	3.3	NOx measurement methodology	15
Section 4	Revie	w of International data on ammonia volatilisation from excreted-N in	1
	pasto	ral agriculture	16
	4.1	Ammonia emissions from urine and dung	16
	4.2	Ammonia emissions from "whole system" grazing	20
	4.3	Discussion	23
Section 5	Revie	w of New Zealand data on ammonia volatilisation from excreted-N in	1
	pasto	ral agriculture	25
	5.1	Ammonia emissions from urine and dung	25
	5.2	Ammonia emissions from "whole system" grazing	26
	5.3	Discussion	29
Section 6	Revie	w of International and New Zealand data on ammonia volatilisation	
	from	fertiliser-N in grazed pastures	31
	6.1	Ammonia emissions from broadcast urea	31
	6.2	Ammonia emissions from other nitrogenous fertilisers	34
	6.3	Influence of urease inhibitors	36
	6.4	Discussion	
Section 7	Revie	w of International and NZ data on NOx emissions from pastoral	
	agricu	llture	39
	7.1	NOx emissions from animal excreta and fertilized pasture	39
	7.2	Discussion	39
Section 8	Gene	ral discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations	42
	8.1	Frac _{GASM}	42
	8.2	Frac _{GASF}	43
References			47

	List of Tables	Page
Table 4.1	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from herbivore urine applied to pasture: International data	19
Table 4.2	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from herbivore dung applied to pasture: International data	19
Table 4.3	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from "whole system" grazing using the IHF method: International data	24
Table 5.1	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from herbivore urine applied to pasture: New Zealand data	28
Table 5.2	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from pasture treated with cattle dung or with herbivore urine together with a nitrification inhibitor (DCD) or urease inhibitor (nBTPT): New Zealand data.	29
Table 5.3	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from "whole system" grazing using the IHF method: NZ data	29
Table 6.1	Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from broadcast granulated urea fertiliser	33
Table 6.2	Simultaneous direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from broadcast diammonium phosphate and urea fertilisers	38
Table 6.3.	Cumulative ammonia losses (% of N-applied) 20 days after broadcast application of urea (100 kgN/ha) impregnated with various amounts of the urease inhibitors: PPD and nBTPT .	38
Table 7.1	Direct measurements of NOx emissions from herbivore urine, excreta or N fertiliser applied to pasture: International & New Zealand data	41
Table 8.1	Summary of Emission Factors reviewed in previous sections	46

Section 1. Introduction

1.1 UU<u>Context of the Project</u>:

Frac_{GASM} and Frac_{GASF} are important factors within national nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions inventories. These factors represent the proportions of manure-N and fertiliser-N respectively that are released into the atmosphere as chemically reactive ammonia gas (NH₃) and nitrogen oxides other than N₂O (essentially NO and NO₂ which collectively are referred to as NOx). Volatilised NH₃ dominates these non-N₂O source emissions with NOx being typically only a minor contributor. Collectively these reactive nitrogenous gases serve as indirect sources of N₂O when re-deposited on land surfaces elsewhere. Currently the NZ N₂O inventory uses the IPCC defaults of 0.2 and 0.1 for Frac_{GASM} and Frac_{GASF} respectively². The use of 0.2 for Frac_{GASM} in New Zealand's N₂O inventory has recently come under the scrutiny of the 'Expert Review Team' (ERT) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) secretariat³. That review noted:

"The ERT encourages New Zealand to investigate a country-specific Frac_{GASM} or document why the IPCC default value is considered appropriate for New Zealand conditions". This current review forms part of that investigation.

NZ's national N₂O inventory is sensitive to changes in these factors, especially to changes in Frac_{GASM}. For example, setting $Frac_{GASM}$ equal to $Frac_{GASF}$ by halving it to 0.1 reduces the calculated annual agricultural N₂O emissions by ~ *ca*. 2.45Gg N₂O (~ 760Gg CO₂-e). At \$22 per tonne of CO₂ this equates to ~ \$17 million.

While some NZ research has investigated $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASF}$ there appear to be relatively few published NZ studies which have attempted to quantify $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASM}$. This is in strong contrast to other jurisdictions. Mitigation of NH₃ emissions from applied manure-N is subject to regulation in many parts of Europe. This is certainly not the case in NZ where transboundary (trans-national) air pollutant effects are minimal and where ammonia volatilisation research appears not to have received the same attention as it has in Europe, North America and Asia. This project brings together and reviews all NZ peer-reviewed published and

² $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASM}$ and $\operatorname{Frac}_{GASF}$ are referred to in this report either as fractions (e.g. 0.2) or more conveniently as percentages (e.g. 20%). It should be clear from the context, which representation is being employed.

From the in-country review, coordinated by an 'Expert Review Team (ERT) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) secretariat, Wellington, February 2007.

unpublished work relating to $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$ and compares it with reputable published studies carried out on comparable pasture systems overseas. From this an informed recommendation is made as to whether the currently available NZ data support retention of the current IPCC defaults and what action would be needed to develop NZ specific factors for $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$.

Figure 1 below⁴, depicts the various routes for both direct and indirect N_2O emissions arising from pastoral agriculture in New Zealand. The vast majority of both direct and indirect anthropogenic N_2O emissions from New Zealand agriculture arises from the nitrogen excreted directly onto pasture by our grazing ruminants (dairy cows, beef cattle and sheep). This is depicted to the left of the dashed vertical line in the figure below. Only that nitrogen excreted and captured during milking, utilisation of stand-off pads, feedpads and herdhomes, or in piggeries or poultry production is accounted for by the pathways depicted to the right of the dashed line.



Figure 1. Flow chart of the current IPCC national N₂O inventory methodology for pastoral agriculture in NZ.

⁴ Referred to informally within the NzOnet group of scientists as the "horrendogram"

As can be seen, $Frac_{GASM}$ is applied in both contexts, but since excreta-N deposited directly during grazing is the major source of NZ's indirect N₂O this report will review work principally from that source.

A smaller, but still significant source of anthropogenic N_2O emissions, is the nitrogencontaining fertiliser used by NZ's farmers. Most of this fertiliser is in the form of urea and most is used to boost pasture production within the dairy industry. The direct and indirect N_2O emissions arising from that source are depicted in Figure 2 below.



Figure 2. Flow chart depicting direct and indirect sources of N₂O from fertiliser usage in New Zealand agriculture.

1.2 <u>Approach</u>:

A literature survey was undertaken of all published NZ studies relating to $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$ as well as relevant published peer-reviewed pasture-based studies carried out overseas. We also consulted with NZ-based colleagues in other Universities and CRIs to obtain any other unpublished information of relevance to $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$ (eg theses, reports etc). These data were scrutinised as to their quality and relevance and then summarised in tabular format. Assessments of means, ranges and standard deviations were calculated and these resulting values were further summarised and discussed. We conclude with some recommendations for further work that could be undertaken to validate for typical New Zealand conditions, our suggested revised $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$ values.

Section 2. The ammonia volatilisation process in pastoral agriculture

As mentioned earlier, the production and emission of ammonia from excreted nitrogen is the principal component of $Frac_{GASM}$ in the NZ situation. This 'ammonia volatilisation' is essentially a physico-chemical phenomenon and occurs independently of the other main Ngas-producing mechanisms: nitrification and biological denitrification (Sherlock & Goh, 1984; 1985a; 1985b). In the context of grazed pastures, ammonia volatilisation begins almost immediately following the voiding of ruminant urine, reaches a peak within one or two days and then declines quite rapidly over the following 5 to 10 days. The proportion of the voided urine-N that is volatilised as $NH_{3(g)}$ during that time can be substantial (see section 4.1). Both the instantaneous rate of NH_3 volatilisation and the total amount volatilised are affected by a number of factors. Principal amongst these are: the presence of 'ammoniacal-N' (or NHx)⁵ at the soil surface itself, the pH of the soil solution containing that ammoniacal-N, the soil surface temperature and the wind-speed (Sherlock et al., 1995). Of somewhat lesser importance is the cation exchange capacity of the soil and its related pH buffering capacity.

2.1 <u>NH₃ volatilisation from urine in grazed pastures</u>

In the grazed pasture context, ammoniacal-N is generated at the soil surface in abundant quantities shortly following ruminant urination. It is formed when the major nitrogen-containing species in urine, namely urea, $CO(NH_2)_2$, is catalytically hydrolysed by the ubiquitous soil enzyme, urease. This hydrolysis (equation 1) generates the bases ammonia, $NH_{3(aq)}$, and bicarbonate, $HCO_{3(aq)}$, both of which serve to drive the pH of the soil solution at the soil surface to values often > pH 9.

 $CO(NH_2)_{2(aq)} + 2 H_2O \longrightarrow NH_4^+_{(aq)} + NH_{3(aq)} + HCO_3^-_{(aq)}$ [Equ. 1]

2.1.1 Influence of pH

At such high pHs, the proportion of the dissolved ammoniacal-N present as volatilisable $NH_{3(aq)}$, is increased markedly. Indeed at pH 9.2 at 20°C, the relative proportions of $NH_{4}^{+}_{(aq)}$ and $NH_{3(aq)}$ are 50/50 (Sherlock & Goh, 1984). With each pH unit

⁵ 'Ammoniacal-N' is the term used here to describe the sum of the mineral-N dissolved in the soil solution as both ammonium $(NH_{4}^{+}_{(aq)})$ and ammonia $(NH_{3(aq)})$ together with ammonium (NH_{4}^{+}) ions held more strongly on cation exchange sites within the soil colloids. 'Ammoniacal-N' is sometimes abbreviated to 'NHx'.

drop below that value, the proportion of the total 'NHx' present as $NH_{3(aq)}$ drops by a factor of ~ 10. Thus at pH 8.2, $NH_{3(aq)}$ forms just 10 % of the total NHx pool while at pH 7.2 only ~ 1 % of the NHx is present as volatilisable $NH_{3(aq)}$. In a urine-induced 'volatilisation event' the surface soil pH typically undergoes an initial rapid increase to pH ~ 9 and then a decline to around pH 7 over the next 7 – 10 days. It has been argued that this decline in pH is the major factor which ultimately limits (self-limits) the extent of ammoniacal-N loss from the urine patch (Sherlock and Goh, 1985a).

2.1.2 Influence of temperature

Before the dissolved $NH_{3(aq)}$ can volatilise, and thereby contribute towards $Frac_{GASM}$, it must undergo a phase change to generate $NH_{3(g)}$. Like most gases, NH_3 is more water soluble at low temperatures than at higher temperatures. For NH_3 this temperature dependent solubility is particularly marked and strongly influences the instantaneous rate of $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from a soil surface. The equilibrium constant between $NH_4^+_{(aq)}$ and $NH_{3(aq)}$ is also strongly influenced by temperature. Using known thermodynamic measurements of this equilibrium constant and the Henry's Law solubility constant for $NH_{3(g)}$, it can be shown that for every 10°C change in temperature, the relative proportions of the $NH_{3(g)}$ and NH_x present in a system change by a factor of about three (Sherlock & Goh, 1985a). Thus temperature has a major influence on the generation of $NH_{3(g)}$ and its subsequent volatilisation.

2.1.3 Influence of wind speed

But just because volatilisable $NH_{3(g)}$ may be present at, or close to, the soil surface, it does not necessarily mean that it will contribute to $Frac_{GASM}$. Its final release to the atmosphere is dictated by the $NH_{3(g)}$ concentration gradient that exists across the soilatmosphere interface. In situations where significant $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation is known to occur (e.g. fresh ruminant urine patches) the concentration of $NH_{3(g)}$ at the soil surface is typically many times that present in the bulk atmosphere. However, this concentration gradient is also strongly affected by wind speed. Strong winds favour the maintenance of a strong concentration gradient and hence volatilisation, while very low winds lead to lower rates of $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation (Sherlock et al., 1985a). But strong winds also serve to rapidly deplete the reservoir of volatilisable $NH_{3(g)}$ at, or close to, the soil surface and this contributes (along with the reduction in surface soil pH; see section 2.1.1) to the rapid decline in $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation that is typically observed in a urine-induced volatilisation event (Sherlock et al., 1985a). Once that reservoir of volatilisable $NH_{3(g)}$ is depleted, wind speed becomes largely irrelevant because $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation will have ceased.

2.1.4 Influence of CEC and Soil Type

The influence of, and interaction between, most of these factors is shown below (Figure 3). Included there is the somewhat ambiguous influence of soil colloids in retaining more strongly at least some of the $NH_4^+_{(aq)}$ present in the soil solution. In theory, soils with a high cation exchange capacity (CEC) should have higher proportions of their NHx retained on the negatively charged soil colloids rendering it less available for volatilisation. However, the competition for exchange sites between NH_4^+ and potassium (K⁺) ions, which are abundant in urine, complicates this simplistic description and makes generalisations concerning the influence of specific soil types difficult, especially for urine-affected soils.



Figure 3. Simplified depiction of the physico-chemical factors driving ammonia volatilisation from urine patches in grazed NZ pastures.

2.2 <u>NH₃ volatilisation from dung excreted onto grazed pastures</u>

In contrast to the descriptions above relating to the transformation of urine-N leading to very significant production and release of $NH_{3(g)}$, excreted dung produces little $NH_{3(g)}$. This is largely a consequence of the failure of dung to develop the high pH conditions needed to de-protonate NH_4^+ to yield volatilisable NH_3 . Dung contains very little, if any, urea, so cannot generate the high pH environment that accompanies urea hydrolysis. Instead, the nitrogen contained in dung is largely recalcitrant 'organic-N'. Mineralisation of this organic-N to ammoniacal-N does occur, but the rate of that transformation is much slower than the N-transformation rates in urine patches and it is not accompanied by significant elevations in pH. Also dung, unlike urine does not tend to come into intimate contact with the soil. For example, bovine dung typically forms 'pats' and acts as an almost completely separate

domain. These 'pats' tend to dry rapidly and the crust that forms acts to impede gas exchange. So the overall contribution of dung deposition to $Frac_{GASM}$ is relatively minor compared to urine (see section 4.1).

2.3 <u>NH₃ volatilisation from surface applied N-containing fertilisers</u>

Direct ammonia volatilisation from fertilisers which contributes towards $Frac_{GASF}$, is only an issue for fertilisers that already contain ammoniacal-N, or fertilisers that produce ammoniacal-N by reaction with soil enzymes e.g. urea. Ammonia volatilisation is not a phenomenon that occurs from fertilisers whose nitrogen content is present exclusively as nitrate, NO₃⁻. Nevertheless, any nitrogen-containing fertiliser which increases the N-content of the herbage being grazed by herbivores will tend to increase the total amount of N those animals excrete (Nex) and also enhance the proportion of that Nex that is excreted in the urine (Whitehead, 1986; Ledgard, 2001). And since $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from urine-N is considerably greater than that from dung-N, the result may be a net increase in $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from the N-excreted and an increase in $Frac_{GASM}$. Here we consider just the potential for immediate $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from surface applied N-fertilisers which contribute towards $Frac_{GASF}$.

2.3.1 NH₃ volatilisation from urea fertiliser

Between 1990 and 2005 there was a 6-fold increase in nitrogen fertiliser usage in NZ from 51,787 to 308,406 tonnes N. Currently, the majority of this fertiliser (~ 80%) is granulated urea, with the largest proportion being surface-applied to dairy pasture soils (W. Catto, pers. com., 2008). As will be apparent from section 2.1, that urea fertiliser should (and does) undergo essentially the same transformations as the urea component of urine, and will suffer some NH_{3(g)} volatilisation. And as already mentioned, any NH_{3(g)} released from urea fertiliser contributes to factor Frac_{GASF} in NZ's inventory. Volatilisation of NH_{3(g)} from surface-applied urea fertiliser is dissolved and physically moved beneath the soil surface (Black et al 1987a). This markedly reduces the NHx concentration at the soil surface and also the extent of any subsequent pH increase. Physical incorporation of granulated urea fertiliser in cropping systems at planting has a similar effect to irrigation by almost completely eliminating NH_{3(g)} volatilisation. But where irrigation or physical incorporation is not possible, NH_{3(g)} volatilisation emissions from urea can be substantial (see section 6.1).

2.3.2 NH₃ volatilisation from DAP and MAP fertilisers

Diammonium phosphate (DAP) and monoammonium phosphate (MAP) are ammoniacal fertilisers that are used to a lesser extent in NZ than urea. In principle both of these fertilisers could suffer from $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation when surface applied to pastoral soils. In most situations only DAP suffers some $NH_{3(g)}$ loss (Black et al, 1985b). The $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from DAP is almost always less than that suffered from a comparable application of urea and occurs as a consequence of the hydrolysis equilibrium undergone by the hydrogen phosphate anion, $HPO_4^{2-}_{(aq)}$. This hydrolysis equilibrium is depicted below (Equation 2).

$$HPO_4^{2-}(aq) + H_2O \iff H_2PO_4^{-}(aq) + OH^{-}(aq)$$
 [Equ.2]

The position of this equilibrium in soil solution following DAP application causes a small but significant elevation in surface soil pH to *ca* pH = 8 which is enough to induce limited deprotonation of $NH_4^+_{(aq)}$ to $NH_{3(aq)}$ and promote some volatilisation (Black et al 1985b). In contrast the dihydrogen phosphate ion, $H_2PO_4^-_{(aq)}$, present in MAP does not undergo significant pH altering hydrolysis and any $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from this fertiliser is minimal, when surface applied to typical, non-calcareous, pasture soils.

2.3.3 NH₃ volatilisation from Ammonium Sulphate and Ammonium Nitrate fertiliser

Both ammonium sulphate (AS) and ammonium nitrate (AN) are very comparable to MAP in terms of their ability to undergo $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation. Like the $H_2PO_4^{-}(aq)$ ion present in MAP, the sulphate ion, $SO_4^{2^-}(aq)$, in AS and the nitrate ion, $NO_3^{-}(aq)$, in AN, undergo little hydrolysis and do not elevate the soil solution pH to any extent. Thus AS, AN and MAP are only likely to generate $NH_{3(g)}$ when applied to soils (e.g. calcareous soils) that themselves have a naturally high pH (pH > 7.5). Most agricultural soils in NZ are not in this category.

The relative $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from the N-fertilisers discussed above were studied by Whitehead & Raistrick (1990). Those workers showed a very clear relationship existed between the extent of cumulative $NH_{3(g)}$ -N emissions 8 days following fertiliser application and the pH attained within the fertilized soil 24 hours following fertiliser application. For

the non-calcareous soils studied, the relative $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions declined in the order: urea > DAP > AS=AN=MAP.

2.4 <u>NH₃ volatilisation measurement methodology</u>

Of all common gases, NH₃ has the distinction of being the most water soluble. This property often confounds the accurate measurement of $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from soils due to its (re-)dissolution in moisture films within the measuring apparatus. And as discussed earlier, $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation is particularly sensitive to temperature and some measurement techniques employed in the past did not adequately address this. So in our later review of the magnitudes of $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation emissions (Sections 4, 5 & 6) we make efforts to identify the type of measurement technique employed. There are a number of excellent recent reviews dealing with the pros and cons of methods to measure ammonia volatilisation. A readily accessible review is available through the FAO website⁶. We summarise below the main attributes of the principal measurement techniques used to quantify $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation by researchers.

2.4.1 N-balance methods

N-balance methods rely on accurately quantifying all of the applied-N in the soil-plant system following the expected completion of the 'volatilisation event'. Ammonia emissions are calculated as the difference between the known amount of N-added and the amount of N ultimately quantified within the soil and plants. This technique assumes that any losses other than by $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation (e.g. denitrification, leaching etc) are inconsequential. This is clearly not always the case and such measurements need to be interpreted cautiously. Another problem encountered using this technique is that any rapid immobilisation of applied mineral-N into the organic-N fraction within the soil is almost impossible to discern without the use of a ¹⁵N tracer in the applied-N.

2.4.2 Static chambers

The static chambers (or enclosures) employed in $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation studies are often short cylinders of sufficient basal diameter to cover the actively volatilising surface (e.g. urine patch or dung pat). The volatilised $NH_{3(g)}$ is typically trapped in dilute aqueous acid held in a separate container placed within the chamber above the soil surface. This trap is changed

⁶ http://www.fao.org/docrep/004/y2780e/y2780e03.htm#P0_0 (accessed June 6, 2008)

periodically and its NH₄⁺_(aq) content can be determined by standard chemical means. With any chamber method, care is needed to minimise the extent of any experimental artefacts created by the chamber itself. In the case of NH₃, any condensation that collects on the interior surface of the chamber acts as an alternative trap (or sink) for the volatilised NH₃ as does any condensation on the plant leaves or soil. In addition, without active air movement the rate of volatilisation is limited by the rate of diffusion of the volatilised NH_{3(g)} to the acid trap. Care must also be taken to shade the chamber from direct sunlight or insulate it to ensure that it doesn't cause heating of the enclosed air or soil. But of course a darkened chamber will not permit normal photosynthetic activity and any departure from "real" outside temperatures will have a marked effect on the rate of volatilisation (see section 2.1.2). Consequently for accurate assessments of the dynamics or extent of $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation, static chambers are not ideal. However, they can still be useful when comparing the relative 'volatilisation potentials' of different N-treatments or different management strategies under pseudo field conditions. They are also very cheap to construct and use. Another variant of the static chamber method employs passive diffusional NH_{3(g)} samplers placed inside and outside of a chamber that is ventilated at a constant rate (Svensson, 1994).

2.4.3 Non-static (Aspirated) chambers and wind-tunnels

Many of the negative features of static chambers are overcome by actively drawing outside air through the chamber headspace (aspiration) and passing this NH_3 –laden air through an external acid trap. If the airflow is sufficient, then any temperature anomalies that can occur with static chambers are very substantially reduced and thus this permits the use of non-shaded transparent chambers. Hence normal photosynthetic activity of the enclosed herbage during daylight hours is maintained. In situations where the aspirated chambers are located within a larger fertilised, dung or urine affected area, consideration needs to be given to the $NH_{3(g)}$ already present in the air entering the chamber. Removing that $NH_{3(g)}$ by including an anterior acid trap would tend to enhance the $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from the enclosed area by increasing the $NH_{3(g)}$ concentration gradient and by reducing the overall atmospheric pressure within the chamber headspace. But not removing the $NH_{3(g)}$ from the air entering the chamber spothematical. Consequently aspirated chambers may not be well suited to measuring $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from extended source areas. However, these reservations are not as relevant where the $NH_{3(g)}$ source is small in area and can be fully accommodated within the chamber itself e.g. a "urine patch" or

"dung pat". Aspirated chambers are more expensive to set up and operate than non-aspirated chambers, but are likely to provide a more accurate estimate of the actually $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions.

A variant of the aspirated chamber that has been employed quite effectively by overseas (esp. UK) researchers is the "wind tunnel". This method attempts to minimize the modifying influence of chambers by using fans to better approximate a typical ambient wind-speed during the time of the measurement. An accurate knowledge of the airflow through the wind tunnel is coupled with separate anterior and posterior sub-sampling of that airflow (usually through acid traps). This allows the $NH_{3(g)}$ flux to be determined. Any problems arising from rainfall events during the cover period are addressed by moving the tunnel periodically to a different, but similarly treated, location. Wind tunnels are the most expensive chamber variant, but arguably deliver the most realistic estimates of $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation emissions.

2.4.4 Micrometeorological methods

Experimental artefacts arising from the use of chambers are largely eliminated by employing one of a number of micrometeorological methods. These methods rely on the measurement of gas concentration gradients, wind speeds and other micrometeorological phenomena to calculate surface $NH_{3(g)}$ fluxes from a much larger (field scale) area than can be accommodated within any practical chamber or wind tunnel. These methods include: the Energy Balance, Eddy Correlation and Aerodynamic procedures that have been used by researchers for many years for measuring the exchange of a range of soil-derived gases (e.g. CO_2 and H_2O). These procedures typically require large areas with uniform upwind distances (fetch) of several hundred metres. They are well suited to large, uniform, flat fertilised fields.

A micrometeorological mass balance procedure that can be carried out over much smaller areas, and is particularly suited to measuring $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation, is the "Integrated Horizontal Flux" (IHF) method. This method typically requires a circular treated plot within a larger un-treated area; the circular plot being 20 to 30 metres in radius. A mast is located in the centre of the treated plot and devices are placed at various heights on that mast to measure the product of wind speed and $NH_{3(g)}$ concentration. These measurements can be made separately using acid traps and anemometers (e.g. Black et al., 1989) or together using a passive sampler that responds linearly to the prevailing wind speed (Leuning et al., 1985; Sherlock et al., 1989). The outcome is a series of measurements of the horizontal $NH_{3(g)}$ flux at specific heights above the centre of the volatilising plot which can be converted to a vertical flux using the formula:

$$F = (1/X) \int_{0}^{Z} \overline{u(C_g - C_b)} dz$$
 [Equ. 3]

where: *u* denotes wind speed, C_g and C_b are the NH_{3(g)} concentrations at the same height at the centre of the volatilising plot and upwind of the volatilising plot, *z* is the height above the soil surface and *X* is the radius of the circular plot. Measurement periods are typically between 2 to 4 hours in length early in a 'volatilisation event' when fluxes are high, but sometimes extend to once daily when fluxes are low (Sherlock et al., 2002). Integrating these vertical fluxes against time yields the total NH_{3(g)} emission from the soil surface during the combined measurement periods. Knowing the total amount of N applied to the circular plot enables determination of the Frac_{GASM} or Frac_{GASF} as appropriate.

As mentioned already, the advantage of this type of micrometeorological method over chamber methods is that the volatilisation process is not affected by the experimental artefacts that may compromise chamber-based measurements. The disadvantages of this type of approach are the relatively high equipment and labour costs, and the limited scope for replication. A simplified version of this Integrated Horizontal Flux (IHF) approach has been developed that requires just a single measurement at a specific height (Z_{inst}) above the centre of the plot (Wilson et al., 1983; Black et al., 1985a). Another variant uses passive absorption devices (Ferm tubes), that are placed on masts around the circumference of the treated plot (Sherlock et al, 2002) and changed regularly.

2.4.5 Concluding comments

None of the methods currently available to measure $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from grazed pasture is ideal. Each method has its advantages and disadvantages. Micrometeorological methods (especially the IHF approach) are best suited to whole system studies and are not compromised by the experimental artefacts which can affect chamber measurements. However micrometeorological methods cannot easily distinguish the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions source e.g. urine versus dung. Only chambers and wind-tunnels appear to have that capability. In the tabulated review data that follows (sections 4, 5 & 6) we list the methodology employed since this helps in understanding the range of values reported and in formulating our conclusions.

Section 3. Overview of the NOx producing mechanisms in soils

'NOx' is the term used to denote the sum of nitric oxide, $NO_{(g)}$, and nitrogen dioxide, $NO_{2(g)}$, present in system. In Earth's troposphere $NO_{(g)}$ and $NO_{2(g)}$ readily interconvert, usually via a pseudo-equilibrium involving ozone, $O_{3(g)}$, as depicted in Equation 4 below:

 $NO_{(g)} + O_{3(g)}$ \longleftrightarrow $NO_{2(g)} + O_{2(g)}$ [Equ. 4]

The measurement of these two N-containing gases is often performed using the same instrument (see later) which is tuned to detect either $NO_{2(g)}$ by itself, or NOx after its $NO_{(g)}$ component has been converted to $NO_{2(g)}$. $NO_{(g)}$ itself is then determined by difference i.e. $NOx - NO_2$. Because of these factors, atmospheric scientists find it convenient to refer to the presence of both gases together; hence 'NOx'.

3.1 <u>Biological production of NO_(g) in soils</u>

In soils, $NO_{(g)}$ is an obligatory intermediate in the denitrification process and is formed also as a by-product of the nitrification process as shown below:

3.1.1 The denitrification process (Knowles, 1982)



A simplified conceptual model of these processes is the so-called "hole in the pipe model" diagrammed below (Figure 4). The emissions of $NO_{(g)}$ (and $N_2O_{(g)}$) are regulated by the size of the 'holes" in the pipe through which these gases can "escape". In contrast to $NO_{(g)}$, there is little evidence for $NO_{2(g)}$ being formed within, or released directly from, soils. If it was formed in soils it would likely dissolve readily within the soil moisture and undergo a redox reaction to generate nitrate, NO_3^- (aq), and nitrite, NO_2^- (aq). [Equation 7].

$$2 \operatorname{NO}_{2(aq)} + H_2O \longrightarrow 2H^+_{(aq)} + \operatorname{NO}_{3(aq)} + \operatorname{NO}_{2(aq)}$$
 [Equ. 7]



Fig.4: The "Hole in the Pipe" conceptual model indicates the flows of inorganic nitrogen through the microbial processes of nitrification and denitrification. Nitrogen oxides escape through "leaks" in the pipe. (Adapted from Firestone and Davidson, 1989).

Thus, NO can be formed under both anaerobic conditions via denitrification and aerobic conditions via nitrification. It is also formed by a recently discovered variant of these processes known as "nitrifier-denitrification" which is performed by ammonia oxidizers (Kuai and Verstraete, 1998).

Whatever the mechanism of its production, $NO_{(g)}$ readily converts in the lower troposphere to the more reactive $NO_{2(g)}$ [Equ. 4] and then to NO_2^- and NO_3^- [Equ. 7]. These products may then be deposited on a land surface downwind to become possible indirect sources of N₂O. The amounts of $NO_{(g)}$ released from soils are typically only a small fraction of the amount of N applied (see section 7), and make only a minor contribution to $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{GASF}$. Nevertheless, NO (as NOx) is part of NZ's agricultural GHG inventory and its contribution needs to be reviewed.

The conditions which favour the production and release of NO_(g) by these biologically mediated processes are the same conditions which affect most biological process in soils, namely: aeration status, moisture content, pH, temperature, oxidisable-C supply (denitrification only) and amount of applied-N. Detailed reviews of nitrification, denitrification and nitrifierdenitrification are available elsewhere (Knowles, 1982; Skiba et al., 1993; Wrage & Velthrop, 2001).

3.2 <u>Abiotic production of NO_(g) in soils</u>

As well as being produced by biologically-mediated processes, production of $NO_{(g)}$ by abiotic processes has also been shown. Arguably the most important of these abiotic processes is the spontaneous and rapid decomposition of nitrous acid (HNO₂) at low pH (pH < 4) (van Cleemput and Samater 1996) as shown in equation 8 below:

 $3 \text{HNO}_{2(aq)} \longrightarrow \text{HNO}_{3(aq)} + 2 \text{NO}_{(g)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [Equ.8]

The HNO_{2(aq)} itself may be formed in conditions where nitrite, NO_{2 (aq)}, is generated and where it can be protonated (equation 9).

$$H^+_{(aq)} + NO_2^-_{(aq)} \longrightarrow HNO_{2(aq)}$$
 [Equ. 9]

Venterea and Rolston (2000) have reported that in sterile soils, $NO_{(g)}$ production is highly correlated with $HNO_{2(aq)}$ concentration. Clough et al. (2003) have suggested that conditions favourable to $HNO_{2(aq)}$ generation may exist at the margins of ruminant urine patches where high $NH_{3(aq)}$ concentrations can lead to the accumulation of $NO_{2^-(aq)}$ and its diffusion to zones of low pH where it can undergo protonation to $HNO_{2(aq)}$. A research programme focussing specifically on $NO_{(g)}$ production in urine patches is nearing completion at Lincoln University and the unpublished results from that programme are reported later (see section 7).

Other abiotic mechanisms leading to $NO_{(g)}$ (and N_2O) emissions arise from reactions of HNO_2 with phenolic and other functional group constituents of soil organic matter (Stevenson and Swaby 1964; Stevenson et al. 1970; Stevenson 1994; Thoran and Mikita 2000). In recent work by Trebs (2001) in Brazilian pasture soils, it was estimated that 50% of the NO production originated from abiotic processes.

3.3 <u>NOx measurement methodology</u>

The procedures used to measure NOx fluxes from soils are similar in concept to those used to measure $NH_{3(g)}$ and include static and aspirated chambers and micrometeorological methods. Like soil $N_2O_{(g)}$ fluxes, NOx fluxes are typically very small, and so chambers are most often used. But unlike $N_2O_{(g)}$, $NO_{(g)}$ and $NO_{2(g)}$ are highly reactive and so NOx fluxes are almost invariably determined *in-situ* in the field using sensitive chemiluminescence detectors. Early versions of these detectors required ozone generators to create electronically excited NO_2 via the reaction given earlier (equ.4) (Galbally & Roy, 1978). More modern instruments utilise other chemistry to excite $NO_{2(g)}$ to a state which undergoes the luminescence for detection by a sensitive photomultiplier tube. Nitric oxide, $NO_{(g)}$, is detected by first being oxidised chemically to $NO_{2(g)}$. The measured amount of $NO_{2(g)}$ is then equal to $NO_{(g)} + NO_{2(g)}$ (i.e. NOx). By measuring air samples without the oxidation conversion, $NO_{2(g)}$ only is determined. Thus, the proportion of $NO_{(g)}$ in the combined NOx is derived by difference.

Section 4. Review of International data on ammonia volatilisation in pastoral agriculture

Whether we have succeeded in capturing all relevant international data on ammonia volatilisation from pastoral agriculture is arguable. What we did succeed in doing was setting aside all the voluminous NH₃ volatilisation data available which deals with flooded soils, cattle feedlots, manure spreading, slurry measurements and most measurements carried out under controlled conditions in the laboratory. These we deemed not relevant to the principal objective of reviewing Frac_{GASM}. We also set aside those studies which relied on mass balances or nitrogen budgets to indirectly estimate N-loss through NH₃ volatilisation. Nevertheless, that left at least 22 high quality original publications reporting over 184 separate datasets which either directly report the NH₃ volatilisation contribution to Frac_{GASM} or which enable that contribution to be estimated.

Studies of ammonia volatilisation in pastoral agriculture tend to fall into one of three categories: disaggregated studies of emissions from either (i) urine or (ii) dung that are almost always carried out using aspirated chambers or wind-tunnels, and (iii) "whole system" measurements which aim to quantify total NH₃ emissions from voided excreta. These "whole system" measurements are almost exclusively made using the IHF method described earlier (section 2.4.4). These different approaches are reflected in how we have structured our report. It also makes the inevitable process of calculating and reporting weighted means and ranges somewhat easier and more transparent for the reader.

Prior to the mid-1980s most of the more reputable research into excreta-derived or fertiliser-derived NH₃-N emissions was performed using aspirated chambers placed on simulated urine patches, dung pats or recently fertilised soil. As noted earlier (section 2.4.3), and as noted by other researchers, chambers potentially generate a number of artefacts which can interfere with and alter the NH₃-N loss process. For example, in their review of NH₃ volatilisation from dairy farming in temperate areas, Bussink and Oenema (1998) comment with respect to NH₃ volatilisation: "The range in losses seems to be somewhat larger in studies using enclosure techniques than in studies using meteorological techniques". This needs to be borne in mind when assessing the data presented and drawing appropriate conclusions.

4.1 Ammonia emissions from urine and dung

During and prior to the 1980s, a number of Australian researchers were active in investigating NH₃ volatilisation from urine patches. Reports from that period include those from Barlow (1972), McGarity and Rajaratnum (1973) and Vallis et al. (1982), all of which employed variants of aspirated chambers. But unlike the others, the apparatus employed by Vallis et al. (1982) was designed to simulate ambient wind speed and so combined some of the attributes of an aspirated chamber with attributes more associated with later wind-tunnels (e.g. Ryden et al. 1987). Vallis et al. (1982) measured NH₃-N emissions from urine patches in subtropical pasture in south east Queensland. In three separate un-replicated studies, emissions from simulated urine patches were 18.8 %, 14.4 % and 28.4 % from urine applications in winter, early summer and late summer respectively (mean = 20.5 %).

Several years later, Ryden et al. (1987) employed wind-tunnels to measure NH₃-N emission factors for urine and dung separately in a series of four experiments on ryegrass plots carried out at Hurley in Berkshire, UK. The mean NH₃-N emissions obtained following dairy cow urine applications to separate pasture plots were quite similar to those measured by Vallis et al. (1982), namely 16.0 % (range 8.8 - 24.7 %) of the urine-N applied. But these emissions were over 10-times those sustained from cattle dung (1.2 %) which was measured independently. This major difference between the NH₃-N emissions from urine and dung has been noted by many researchers both prior to, and subsequent to, the work of Ryden et al. (1987). For instance, Ledgard (2001) in his review of nitrogen cycling in low input legume-based pastoral agriculture estimated mean NH₃-N emissions of 20 % from a range of studies of the N excreted as urine and only 4 % from the N excreted as dung. He further comments that these values, especially the dung volatilisation values, were likely to be overestimates due to the chamber techniques employed in the studies reviewed.

Whitehead and Bristow (1990) used the same wind-tunnel system to measure $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from urine, taken from a cow that was fed grass silage and sugar beet pulp. To identify the subsequent N-transformations, they labelled the urine with N¹⁵-urea. After correcting for a portion of the applied-N that was immediately lost in drainage, recovery of this ¹⁵N label in the volatilised NH₃ amounted to 17.8 % 10 days after application. And in related studies over several years, Lockyer and Whitehead (1990) employed these wind-tunnels to measure NH_{3(g)} volatilisation from cattle urine applied to pasture under a range of

seasonal and experimental conditions (Table 4.1). The mean cumulative $NH_{3(g)}$ -N emissions across a series of twelve experiments over five years was 13.4 % (range: 3.7 - 26.9 %; standard deviation: 7.7 %). Average soil (and air temperatures) in the four days following urine application were highly correlated (r = 0.91) to the total NH₃-N emitted in the 15 days following urine application.

In a comprehensive series of seventeen experiments carried out at Wageningen in Holland which again employed wind-tunnels, Vertregt and Rutgers (1991) measured average $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions of 10.0 % (range 3.5 - 16.6 %; standard deviation 3.4 %) from synthetic urine-N applied at rates of approximately 600 kg N/ha. While these results are consistent with those of Lockyer and Whitehead (1990) they did not show the relationship with temperature found by those workers.

Following the studies summarised above, there appears to be a relative dearth of wind-tunnel or chamber-based urine or dung-derived $NH_{3(g)}$ measurements until the recent report by Saarijarvi et al. (2006). These workers employed Svensson's 'JTI' chamber technique which is claimed to combine the attributes of a chamber with those of a micrometeorological procedure (see section 2.4.2 and Svensson 1994). Saarijarvi et al., (2006) reported on a series of experiments conducted during the summer months on a timothy-meadow fescue (*Phleum pratense- Festuca pratensis*) pasture in Finland where the mean soil temperature at 10 cm depth was an appreciable 14.6°C. NH₃-N emissions from urine patches and dung pats were measured separately. Emissions from the urine patches following 3 separate applications were 18.4 %, 17.8 % and 9.5 % of the urine-N (average = 15.2 %) while emissions from the dung pats averaged just 1.3 % (range 1.2 - 1.4 %) of the dung-N. These results were remarkably similar to those of Ryden et al. (1987) reported earlier. Furthermore, irrigation treatments imposed during one of the experiments clearly showed that NH₃-N losses from urine patches could be significantly reduced by 46 – 75 %.

The mean value for all of the 41 wind-tunnel and chamber-based international studies on $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from urine-N applied to pasture reviewed above is: 12.9 % (range: 2.4 -28.4 %; standard deviation: 6.3 %). In contrast, the mean value for the four wind-tunnel based studies on dung, have found $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions of just 1.5 % (range: 1.2 - 2.0 %; standard deviation: 0.4 %).

Country	N source	Mean % Urine-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	N	Range	Reference	Methodology
Australia	Sheep urine	6.5	-	1	-	McGarity & Rajaratnam, 1973	aspirated chamber
Australia	Cattle urine	20.5	7.2	3	14.4 - 28.4	Vallis et al., 1982	aspirated chamber
England	Dairy cow urine	16.0	7.6	4	8.8 - 24.7	Ryden et al., 1987	wind-tunnel
England	Cow urine +15-N	17.8	-	1	-	Whitehead & Bristow, 1990	wind-tunnel
England	Dairy cow urine	13.4	7.7	12	3.7 - 26.9	Lockyer & Whitehead, 1990	wind-tunnel
Netherlands	Synthetic urine	10.0	3.4	17	3.5 - 16.6	Vertregt & Rutgers, 1991	wind-tunnel
Finland	Dairy cow urine	15.2	5.0	3	9.5 - 18.4	Saarijarvi et al., 2006	chamber (JTI method)
	Overall	12.9 ^b	6.3	41	$^{\circ}$ CV ^a = 49%		

Table 4.1 Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from herbivore urine applied to pasture: International data

Table 4.2 Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from herbivore dung applied to pasture: International data

Country	N source	Mean % Dung-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	N	Range	Reference	Methodology
England	Cow dung	1.2	-	1	-	Ryden et al., 1987	wind-tunnel
England	Sheep dung	2.0	-	1		Ryden et al., 1987	wind-tunnel
Finland	Dairy cow dung	1.3		2	1.2 - 1.4	Saarijarvi et al., 2006	chamber (JTI method)
	Overall	1.5	0.4	4	%CV ^a = 26%		

^a %CV = (Standard deviation \div Mean) x 100 %

^b weighted mean of all 41 studies

4.2 <u>Ammonia emissions from "whole system" grazing</u>

The first "whole system" micrometeorological determination of NH_{3(g)} volatilisation from grazed pasture was carried out using an "energy balance" approach by Denmead, Simpson and Freney in Queensland, Australia (Denmead et al., 1974). These researchers determined that 26 % of the urine-N voided by the 200 sheep grazing the pasture was emitted as NH_{3(g)}. Much later, Jarvis et al. (1989) employed the simpler IHF micrometeorological method (section 2.4.4) in a series of NH₃ loss studies on pastures rotationally grazed by cattle spanning the months of May through October in the years 1986–1987. In those studies, carried out at Hurley in Berkshire UK., these researchers measured NH₃ emissions during and after seven 1-week grazings by yearling steers from three different pasture managements: ryegrass only swards receiving either 420 or 210 kg fertiliser-N/annum (420N and 210N), and a grass-clover sward receiving no additional fertiliser-N (GC). Their NH₃ emission results were reported in a number of formats including: (i) kg NH₃-N/ha/yr, (ii) kg NH₃-N/animal/day, (iii) % of fertiliser or fixed N input emitted as NH₃-N and (iv) % of dietary N available for consumption emitted as NH₃-N. Use of the IHF method precluded the disaggregation of these total emissions into urine-derived emissions and those originating from dung. Their mean kg NH₃-N/animal/day values for the 420N, 210N and GC treatments were 0.0185, 0.0085 and 0.0055 respectively. Using these values, and assuming an annual Nex value of 53.8 kgN/animal/yr (UK Inventory, 1990) we estimate the mean NH₃-N emissions sustained (i.e. percentage of Nex emitted as NH₃-N) were: 12.5 %, 5.8 % and 3.7 % respectively from these three pasture managements (Table 4.3).

In a follow-up study, Jarvis et al. (1991) report a similar series of NH₃ loss studies on pastures rotationally grazed by sheep. These spanned the months of May through October in the years 1988–1989. Four different pasture managements were employed: ryegrass only swards receiving either 420 or zero kg fertiliser-N/ha/yr (420N or 0 N); a pure clover sward (Cl); and a mixed ryegrass/clover sward (GC). Their reported mean daily NH₃-N emissions per animal were again used to estimate the proportion of excreted-N released as NH₃-N. Their mean values for the 420N, 0 N, Cl and GC treatments were: 1.235×10^{-3} , 0.915×10^{-3} , 1.900×10^{-3} and 0.193×10^{-3} kg NH₃-N/sheep/day respectively. Expressed as a percentage of an assumed Nex value of 5.24 kg N/sheep/yr (UK National Inventory 1990) these NH₃-N emissions correspond to only 8.6%, 6.4%, 13.2% and 1.3% respectively for the four different pasture management systems (Table 4.3). These values are very similar to those sustained from similar cattle-grazed systems reported above.

Prior to the work of Jarvis et al. (1989, 1991) reported above, Ryden et al. (1987) employed the IHF method in a similar series of experiments on paddocks of ryegrass fertilized with 420 kg N/ha/yr and on unfertilised ryegrass/white clover mixed swards. Both systems were rotationally grazed by beef cattle; the fertilized ryegrass was grazed five times while the unfertilized grass-clover pasture was grazed twice. This work was also carried out at Hurley in Berkshire, UK. As well as using the IHF method, these workers also employed wind-tunnels to measure emission factors for urine and dung separately (see section 4.1 above). NH₃-N emissions from the 5 grazings of the fertilised ryegrass averaged 14.7 % (range: 7.1–27.7) of the average Nex. In contrast, the emission from the N-excreted on the mixed ryegrass-clover sward averaged only 1.8 % of Nex. These values are similar to those obtained subsequently by Jarvis et al. (1989) that we re-calculated above. It is noteworthy that the mean NH₃-N emission these researchers obtained following dairy urine and beef cattle dung applications to separate pasture plots beneath wind-tunnels were: 16.0 % and 1.2 % respectively. Using these two values, and assuming a 60 %:40 % distribution of the nitrogen excreted between the urine and dung respectively (Ledgard, 2001) a net value of 10.1 % of the excreted-N would be emitted as NH₃-N. This value is very similar to the 9.2 % average value (mean of 12.5 & 5.8 %) obtained independently and subsequently by Jarvis et al. (1989).

The observations above are further supported by the very extensive work of Bussink (1992, 1994). The first publication reports work carried out in 1987 and 1988, while the second publication reports further work carried out at the same location in Flevopolder, Netherlands between 1989 and 1990. All measurements employed the IHF method and studied the NH_{3(g)} volatilisation losses from Holstein Frisian dairy cows rotationally grazed on ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) pasture that received annual fertiliser inputs of 250, 400 or 550 kgN/ha as calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN). Because CAN does not itself volatilise NH_{3(g)} (section 2.3.3), all the NH_{3(g)} emissions must have originated directly from the excreta itself. The proportion of Nex excreted as urine-N ranged from 68 % to 78 %. The results for 24 separate grazing cycles are reported in the first publication (Bussink, 1994) reports the results of a further 29 cycles. Excreta-derived emissions of NH₃-N in 1987 and 1988 were equivalent to 8.5 % and 7.7 % respectively of 'Nex' from the swards receiving annual dressings of 550 kgN/ha. And the NH₃-N emission from the sward receiving 250 kgN/ha in 1989, NH₃-N emissions averaged 5.4 %, 13.9 % and 14.4 % of Nex for the

21

250, 400 and 550 kgN/ha pasture plots respectively. In 1990 NH₃-N emissions for the plots fertilised with 250, 400 and 550 kgN/ha, amounted to 3.3 %, 6.9 % and 6.9 % respectively of the N-excreted by the cows during grazing (Table 4.3).

A total of 70 separate beef and dairy cow grazing events on fertilised pasture are shown in Table 4.3. NH₃ emissions were measured for each of these events using the IHF micrometeorological method. Of those 70 events, 47 were on perennial ryegrass pasture which received at least 400 kgN/ha/yr of fertiliser-N. The weighted mean value of the NH₃-N emissions from those 47 studies is 9.6% of the excreta-N. The other 23 grazing events were on pasture receiving 210-250 kgN/ha/yr. A weighted mean value for the NH₃-N emissions from those studies is just 4.2 % of the excreta-N. This is only slightly greater than the weighted mean (ie 3.3 %) for the 9 studies involving beef cattle or dairy cows grazing grass/clover pastures that had received no supplementary fertiliser.

4.3 <u>Discussion</u>

As noted in the introduction (section 1.1) and again later (section 7.2), NOx emissions make only a very small contribution to active N-gas emission from grazing. The bulk of those emissions are as $NH_{3(g)}$. All of the international work reviewed above points to the fraction of the N deposited on pasture during grazing (Nex) subsequently released as NH_{3} -N being much less than the $Frac_{GASM}$ values that countries such as the United Kingdom (UK) employ in their own national N₂O emissions inventories⁷. The UK uses a value of 0.2 for $Frac_{GASM}$ in their N₂O inventory but it is clear from the data above, that a value much less this might seem more appropriate. In reconciling this apparent anomaly we need to recognise that emissions from pastures during grazing in the UK forms only a relatively minor portion of the total NH₃–N emissions from excreted-N that is integrated under $Frac_{GASM}$.

Misselbrook et al. (2000) estimate that of the 52.3 kt NH₃-N emitted per annum from cattle (beef and dairy) in the UK, just 6.6 kt (13 %) is emitted during grazing. The bulk is emitted during housing of the animals and the subsequent land spreading of their manure. An even more extreme situation pertains for pig farming in the UK. Of the 12.0 kt of NH₃-N emitted by that sector each year, just 0.4 kt (3.3 %) is emitted while the pigs are outdoors (Misselbrook et al., 2000). The UNECE ammonia expert panel in its 1998 summary of

⁷ GBR-2008-2006-v1.5.xls. Table 4.D. Sectoral Background Data for Agriculture Agricultural Soils .

Europe's ammonia emission factors reports that of the 100 kg N per year excreted by a typical European dairy cow, just 40 kg is deposited directly onto pasture during grazing, and just 3.9 kg (i.e. 8 %) of that deposited N is emitted as NH₃-N (van der Hoek, 1998). The remaining 60 kg of Nex are collected and stored and ultimately spread as manure back onto the pasture. Prior to and during that spreading, 25 % of its N content is released as NH₃-N. When the Nex from other European animal classes (sheep pigs, poultry etc) is disaggregated in this way, the net outcome for $Frac_{GASM}$ corresponds closely to the current IPPC default (0.2). In contrast in NZ, 97 % of the N excreted across all livestock classes is voided directly onto pasture (Figure 1).

So in the UK and other European countries, the proportion of Nex voided directly onto pastures is much lower that in NZ, but NH₃-N emissions from other "Animal Waste Management Systems" (AWMS) are correspondingly much greater. Together these emissions amount to ~ 0.2 (i.e. ~ 20 %) of Nex; hence the use of this value when reporting Frac_{GASM} in their inventories. In New Zealand the contribution of "Animal Waste Management Systems" other than direct deposition of excreted-N onto pasture during grazing is very minor (see Figure 1), and can be largely disregarded for inventory purposes. Consequently the focus of attention for quantifying $Frac_{GASM}$ for New Zealand's N₂O inventory must fall on measurements of NH_{3(g)} emissions from excrete deposited directly onto NZ pastures. Such measurements are reviewed in the following section.

Country	Year of Study	Pasture type	Animal type	Fertiliser (kgN/ha/yr)	Number of grazings (N)	Mean % Excreted-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	Range	Reference
Queensland (Aus)	1972	Grass/clover	Sheep	0	1	26.0 ^d	-	-	Denmead et al., 1974
England	1986?	Perennial Ryegrass	Beef cattle	420	5	14.7	7.7	7.1 – 27.7	Ryden et al., 1987
England	1986?	Grass/Clover	Beef cattle	0	2	1.8	-	1.3 - 2.3	Ryden et al., 1987
England	1986-87	Perennial Ryegrass	Yearling steers	420	7	12.5 ^b	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1989
England	1986-87	Perennial Ryegrass	Yearling steers	210	7	5.8 ^b	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1989
England	1986-87	Grass/Clover	Yearling steers	0	7	3.7 ^b	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1989
England	1988-89	Perennial Ryegrass	Sheep	420	16	8.6 °	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1991
England	1988-89	Perennial Ryegrass	Sheep	0	13	6.4 ^c	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1991
England	1988-89	Clover	Sheep	0	13	13.2 °	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1991
England	1988-89	Grass/Clover	Sheep	0	17	1.3 °	NA	NA	Jarvis et al., 1991
Holland	1987	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	550	8	8.5	3.9	5.2 - 14.8	Bussink, 1992
Holland	1988	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	250	7	3.1	1.7	1.6 - 5.7	Bussink, 1992
Holland	1988	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	550	9	7.7	4.1	1.2 - 12.9	Bussink, 1992
Holland	1989	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	250	2	5.3	-	2.1 - 7.1	Bussink, 1994
Holland	1989	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	400	2	13.9	-	10.2 - 16.7	Bussink, 1994
Holland	1989	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	550	2	14.4	-	9.8 - 18.0	Bussink, 1994
Holland	1990	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	250	7	3.3	2.6	0.0 - 7.4	Bussink, 1994
Holland	1990	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	400	7	6.9	5.5	2.5 - 17.8	Bussink, 1994
Holland	1990	Perennial Ryegrass	Dairy cows	550	7	6.9	4.0	2.1 - 15.5	Bussink, 1994

Table 4.3 Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from "whole system" grazing using the IHF^a method: International data

^a IHF = Integrated Horizontal Flux method (section 2.4.4)

^b assumes an N-excretion rate (Nex) of 53.8kgN/steer/year (UK National Inventory, 1990)

^c assumes an N-excretion rate (Nex) of 5.24kgN/sheep/year (UK National Inventory, 1990)

^d used a different micrometeorological (Energy Balance) method

NA = not available

Section 5. Review of New Zealand data on ammonia volatilisation in pastoral agriculture

5.1 Ammonia emissions from urine and dung

Studies of ammonia volatilisation from excreted-N in New Zealand appear to have begun in the late 1940s by Doak. In this often quoted and still highly relevant paper, (Doak, 1952) used an aspirated chamber method in the field to measure the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from sheep urine patches. The mean emission from three measurements was 12.1 % of the applied urine-N. Subsequent work by Ball et al (1979), Carran et al. (1982) and Ball and Keeney (1983), (reported in Ball and Ryden, 1984) all used a variant of the aspirated chamber approach, and yielded $NH_{3(g)}$ emission values from urine of between 5 and 66 % (Table 5.1). In that work, which was carried out in both Manawatu and Southland, greatest emissions coincided with the warm and dry conditions of summer.

Sherlock & Goh (1984) used a continuously aspirated chamber technique to measure $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from sheep urine applied to pasture in Canterbury. Their measurements ranged from 12.2 to 24.6 % of the applied urine-N and, as reported by Ball and Ryden (1984), the greatest emissions coincided with the warm summer period.

Ball's experimental system was subsequently employed by Sugimoto et al. (1992) to determine the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from cow dung pats. Those workers found that unlike the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from urine, $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from dung were much smaller but also more protracted. Emissions from six separate measurements spanning two seasons ranged from 2.8 to 8.1 % of the dung-N (mean 5.6 %). The highest emissions occurred from "pats" which the researchers themselves noted probably contained unrealistically high levels of dung (6 kg fresh per $0.1m^{-2}$, equivalent to 2000kg N ha⁻¹). The mean $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from the four, more realistic rates of application, averaged 4.5 % of the dung-N which, although four times that reported earlier for UK conditions (Table 4.2), was nevertheless much lower than that from urine (Tables 4.1 and 5.1). These appear to be the only measurements of $NH_{3(g)}$ emission from dung that have been carried out in NZ (Table 5.2).

The recent use of nitrification and urease inhibitors in pastoral agriculture in New Zealand, has led to a renewed interest in their possible effects on $NH_{3(g)}$ emission from excreted-N. Concern has been expressed that the use of DCD, a nitrification inhibitor, on

pastures could slow the nitrification of $NH_{4}^{+}(aq)$ sufficiently for $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions to increase. Di and Cameron (2004) explored this possibility in a field experiment using aspirated chambers similar to those used by Sherlock and Goh (1984). Emissions from urine alone applied at 1000 kg N ha⁻¹ amounted to just 3.5 % of the applied-N (Table 5.1), while the application of DCD to urine patches actually reduced subsequent NH_{3(g)} emissions to 1.7 %, although the difference was not statistically significant (Table 5.2). These findings were supported more recently by Jagrati Singh at Palmerston North who explored the effects of several of these products, not only on NH3(g) emissions, but also on N2O emissions from urine affected soil. In that work, which was carried out under controlled conditions on soil cores in a glasshouse, NH_{3(g)} emissions ranged from 0.95 to 8.9 % of the applied urine-N (Singh et al., 2008a & 2008b). And like the findings of Di & Cameron (2004), Singh et al. (2008 a & b) showed that DCD had no significant effect on NH_{3(g)} emissions, although the urease inhibitor, nBTPT (section 6.3), did significantly reduce emissions from 8.9 to 6.9 % of the applied urine-N (Tables 5.1 & 5.2). Menneer et al. (2008) also recently carried out an investigation of the fate of urine-N applied under field conditions to a pumice soil at Taupo. Amongst other things these researchers measured the $NH_{3(g)}$ emission from cow urine applied at 775 kgN/ha) using the aspirated chamber technique employed by Black et al. (1984). In the 20 days following urine application, 14 % of the urine-N was emitted as NH₃-N. These researchers were also able to demonstrate that neither DCD nor 4MP⁸ applied along with the urine had any influence on the magnitude of subsequent $\mathrm{NH}_{3(g)}$ emissions. But they were able to show that the urease inhibitor nBTPT markedly reduced NH_{3(g)} emissions to just 5 % of the applied urine-N; a reduction of 64 % (Tables 5.1 & 5.2).

5.2 <u>Ammonia emissions from "whole system" grazing</u>

Unlike the European situation where "whole system" grazing has been studied intensively using the IHF method (Section 2.4.4 & Table 4.3) there appears to have been only one comparable attempt in New Zealand. Ledgard et al. (1999) employed passive $NH_{3(g)}$ samplers (as described by Leuning et al., 1985), to quantify $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation emissions from a series of dairy farmlets in the Waikato. That intensive three year study involved three N fertiliser regimes and two different stocking rates. Because a zero N-fertiliser treatment was included, these researchers were able to distinguish $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions derived from excreted-N from the emissions derived from the fertiliser (urea) used. Their initial paper

 $^{^{8}}$ 4MP = 4-methylpyrazole, another nitrification inhibitor.

(Ledgard et al. 1996) provided annual excretal-N rates for this study. After taking account of excreta N loss to lanes and cowsheds, it can be determined that the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from the zero-N farmlets averaged just 4.0 % of the N excreted onto the pasture over the three years monitored (Table 5.3).

The only other significant occasions where this IHF approach has been made in NZ to measure $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from non-fertiliser-N applications were those of Sherlock & van der Weerden (1992) and Sherlock et al. (2002). However as one study involved synthetic urine applied as a single "mega-patch" and the other involved pig slurry, neither is particularly relevant to quantifying NZ's Frac_{GASM} and the results are not reported here.

Location	N source	Rate(s) of Application (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Mean % Urine-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	Ν	Range	Reference	Methodology
Manawatu	Cow urine	150	12.1	NA	3	NA	Doak, 1952	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Cattle urine	300 - 600	16.5	2.1	2	15 - 18	Ball et al., 1979	aspirated chamber
Southland	Dairy cow urine	300	26.5	13.4	2	17 - 36	Carran et al., 1982	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Cattle urine	300 - 600	29.0	32.5	3	5 - 66	Ball & Ryden, 1984	aspirated chamber
Canterbury	Dairy cow urine	500	19.7	6.6	3	12.2 - 24.6	Sherlock & Goh, 1984	aspirated chamber
Canterbury	Dairy cow urine	1000	3.5	-	1	-	Di & Cameron, 2004	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Dairy cow urine	144 - 570	2.4	1.7	3	0.95 - 4.2	Singh et al., 2008a	aspirated chamber *
Manawatu	Dairy cow urine	476	8.9	-	1	-	Singh et al., 2008b	aspirated chamber *
Taupo	Dairy cow urine	775	14.0	-	1	-	Menneer et al, 2008	aspirated chamber
		Overall	15.9 ^b	15.3	19	%CV ^a = 96%		

Table 5.1	Direct measurements	of ammonia	volatilisation	from herbivor	e urine applied t	o pasture: Ne	w Zealand data
-----------	---------------------	------------	----------------	---------------	-------------------	---------------	----------------

^a %CV = (Standard deviation \div Mean) x 100 %

^b weighted mean of all 18 studies

* experiments performed on soil cores in a greenhouse

Table 5.2Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from pasture treated with cattle dung or with herbivore urine together with anitrification inhibitor (DCD) or urease inhibitor (nBTPT): New Zealand data.

Location	N source	Rate(s) of Application (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Mean % Urine-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	N	Range	Reference	Methodology
Canterbury	Dairy cow urine + DCD	1000	1.7	-	1	-	Di & Cameron, 2004	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Dairy cow urine + DCD	144 - 570	2.6	1.6	3	1.1 - 4.4	Singh et al., 2008a	aspirated chamber *
Manawatu	Dairy cow urine + nBTPT	476	6.9	-	1	-	Singh et al., 2008b	aspirated chamber *
Taupo	Dairy cow urine + DCD	775	14	-	1	-	Menneer et al., 2008	aspirated chamber
Taupo	Dairy cow urine + nBTPT	775	5	-	1	-	Menneer at al. 2008	aspirated chamber
Taupo	Dairy cow urine + 4MP	775	17	-	1	-	Menneer at al. 2008	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Cattle dung	650	3.1	0.4	2	2.8 - 3.4	Sugimoto et al. 1992	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Cattle dung	1300	5.9	0.2	2	6.0 - 5.8	Sugimoto et al. 1992	aspirated chamber
Manawatu	Cattle dung	2000	7.7	0.5	2	8.1 - 7.4	Sugimoto et al. 1992	aspirated chamber

* experiments performed on soil cores in a greenhouse

Table 5.3Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from "whole system" grazing using the IHF^a method: NZ data

Location	N source	Rate(s) of Application (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Mean % Urine-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	Ν	Range	Reference	Methodology
Waikato	Dairy cow urine	395	4.0	0.3	3	3.8-4.3	Ledgard et al 1996 & 1999	IHF method

5.3 Discussion

As has been noted previously (Section 4.3 and Figure 1), 97% of the N excreted by NZ's farmed livestock is currently excreted directly onto pasture. However, it is noteworthy that the increasing use of wintering-off pads, particularly in high rainfall areas of the South Island, will likely result in a gradual downwards shift in this value.

As already mentioned (Section 1.1) mitigation of NH_3 emissions from applied manure-N is subject to regulation in many parts of Europe. This is not the case in NZ where trans-boundary (trans-national) air pollutant effects are minimal. Until recently, research on excreta-N in NZ has largely focussed on N-use efficiency. Any environmental concerns were largely confined to the leaching of excreted-N as nitrate. Consequently there have been relatively few measurements of $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation from animal excreta in NZ compared to other countries. Nevertheless, the limited amount of NZ data available tells the same story as the more extensive international data acquired under similar conditions.

Firstly, direct NH₃-N emissions from urine applied to pasture soils measured in NZ using aspirated chambers averaged 15.9 % (Table 5.1); only slightly more than the 12.9 % (Table 4.1) average obtained mainly using wind tunnels in overseas studies. The somewhat larger values measured in NZ may be real or they may be due to artefacts associated with the measurement techniques employed; wind tunnels likely being more reliable than aspirated chambers. Secondly, the limited NZ data available for NH₃-N emissions from dung applied at realistic rates on pasture averaged 4.5 % (Table 5.2). While somewhat higher than the wind tunnel derived results obtained on pastures overseas (1.5 %) (Table 4.2) they are like the overseas values, appreciably lower than the NH_{3(g)} emissions from urine. Thirdly, when nonchamber IHF methods are employed to measure NH_{3(g)} from total N-excreted, the single reliable NZ study undertaken gives very similar results to the much larger database of similar overseas studies. The 4.0 % mean value obtained by Ledgard et al (1996 & 1999) (Table 5.3), agrees very closely with the values for similar European grazing systems i.e. 3.3 to 4.2 % (Section 4.2, Table 4.3 & Section 8.1, Table 8.1). This last observation especially, indicates strongly that overseas data reported in the international literature can be used to assist in the re-evaluation of a country-specific Frac_{GASM} for New Zealand.

Section 6. Review of International and New Zealand data on ammonia volatilisation from fertiliser-N in grazed pastures

6.1 Ammonia emissions from broadcast urea

There have been many overseas studies of $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from fertiliser. Most deal with cropping systems of various sorts and a high proportion involve fertiliser types that are not widely used in New Zealand. We tried to limit this section of the review therefore, to just those studies involving fertiliser applied to pasture. And since urea accounts for ~ 80 % of the fertiliser purchases in NZ (W. Catto pers. com., 2008) with the rest being mainly DAP, we also tried to confine our review to just those two fertilisers. But this was not a simple task. There is an enormous literature dealing with NH_{3(g)} volatilisation from nitrogenous fertilisers. Most of it deals with agricultural production systems of little relevance to NZ's N₂O inventory (e.g. rice production, intensive cropping etc). In trying to identify just those field-based studies dealing mainly with urea, surface-applied to pasture soil, we have had to be selective, and in being selective we have almost certainly missed some studies of relevance. Nevertheless, we have identified 60 field-based studies carried out under temperate climatic conditions which are relevant and which appear to present a consistent picture (Table 6.1). We also identified a number of reviews of ammonia volatilisation by others of relevance to NZ's N₂O inventory (e.g. Harrison & Webb, 2001; van der Weerden & Jarvis, 1997; Misselbrook et al., 2000).

Some of the earliest relevant research identified was that conducted by Scott Black and his colleagues at Lincoln University in NZ in the 1980s; the work being reported in a series of papers (Black et al., 1984; 1985a; 1985b; 1987a; 1987b; 1989). Of most relevance to $Frac_{GASF}$ amongst that work was their study of the effect of rate of urea application on the extent of subsequent $NH_{3(g)}$ -N emission. These workers showed that $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions increased from an average of 10.8 % of the urea-N applied at 30 kgN/ha to 33 % of the urea-N applied at (albeit unrealistically high) rates of 300 kgN/ha. While that work has both local and international significance, arguably the much more extensive work of Chadwick et al. (2005) in the UK is just as relevant to any revision of NZ's $Frac_{GASF}$. That work, conducted across a range of grassland sites in 2003-2004 utilised wind tunnels to quantify the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions following urea, and other fertiliser applications to grassland soils. The rates of application used were overly high by NZ standards (100 kg N/ha) but one trial included a range of application rates down to 30kg N/ha. In Figure 5 we plot that data, along with other data from studies reported in the international literature. The data points for the UK studies of Chadwick et al. (2005) carried out at urea application rates of 100 kg N/ha are specifically identified and their quite wide spread gives a good visual indication of the extent of the variability encountered due to differences in soil type, meteorological (e.g. rainfall) and seasonal effects. But when all these data are viewed collectively, a reasonably consistent picture appears which confirms the earlier findings of Black et al. (1985b): namely that as rates of urea-N applications increase, the percentage of that applied-N that is volatilised as $NH_{3(g)}$ also increases. Black et al. (1985b) observed that this 'rate of application effect' is probably due to the influence that individual urea granules exert on elevating the pH of the soil surface beneath, and immediately surrounding, the site where each granule falls. At higher rates of urea application (> 60 kg urea-N/ha), the urea diffusing from individual granules merges and results in higher and more prolonged increases in soil surface pH than is experienced at lower (~ 30 kg urea-N/ha) rates of application. At low rates of urea-N application, the overlapping of urea diffusing from adjacent granules would take place only infrequently, so a much smaller portion of the soil surface would have a pH high enough to sustain NH_{3(g)} volatilisation.

Of the 79 individual measurements reviewed (Table 6.1), 60 were carried out under field conditions and 19 of those were carried out on individual urea-N applications of 45 kg N/ha or less. Application rates of urea-N would very rarely exceed that value under typical pastoral farming conditions in NZ (W. Catto pers. Com. 2008). It is noteworthy that the mean percentage $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from those 19 studies was 10.8 % (SD ~ 2.9) which is only slightly greater than the value for $Frac_{GASF}$ that is currently used in NZ's N₂O inventory. But a comparison such as this is premature without first taking into account the contribution made to $Frac_{GASF}$ by the other non-urea N-fertilisers used in NZ and emissions as $NOx_{(g)}$ (section 7).

Location	Rate(s) of Application (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Mean % Urine-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	Ν	Range	Reference	Methodology
Canterbury NZ	15	7.0	-	1	-	Black et al., 1985b	aspirated chamber
Waikato NZ	23	11.2	3.8	3	7.5 - 15.0	Ledgard et al., 1999	IHF method
Canterbury NZ	25	7.5	-	1	-	Di & Cameron, 2004	aspirated chamber
Canterbury NZ	30	10.8	2.8	7	7.3 -14.0	Black et al. 1984; 1985b; 1987	aspirated chamber
UK	30	12.0	-	1	-	Chadwick et al., 2005	Wind tunnel
Waikato NZ	45	11.6	3.2	6	7.5 - 15.2	Ledgard et al., 1999	IHF method
Canterbury NZ	60	20.0	-	1	-	Black et al., 1985b	aspirated chamber
UK	60	20.0	-	1	-	Chadwick et al., 2005	Wind tunnel
UK	70	14.4	-	1	-	Ryden et al., 1987	Wind tunnel
UK	70	16.1	5.7	2	12.1 - 20.1	Van der Weerden & Jarvis, 1997	Wind tunnel
Netherlands	80	19.0	-	1	-	Velthof et al., 1990	Wind tunnel
Netherlands	90	7.0 *	-	1	-	Velthof et al., 1990	Wind tunnel
Denmark	90	17.8	-	1	-	Sommer & Jenson, 1994	Wind tunnel
Argentina	90	18.9	-	1	-	Barbieri & Echeverria, 2003	Semi-open static
UK	90	37.2	12.4	2	28.4 - 46.0	Van der Weerden & Jarvis, 1997	Wind tunnel
UK	90	20.0	-	1	-	Chadwick et al., 2005	Wind tunnel
USA	100	20.7	11.0	16	5.76 - 38.90	Watson et al., 1994	Controlled env. study
Canterbury NZ	100	24.8	5.0	2	21.2 - 28.3	Black et al., 1985a; 1989	IHF method
Canterbury NZ	100	22.5	4.1	5	16.6 - 27.8	Black et al., 1985a; 1985b;1987;1989	aspirated chamber
UK	100	26.7	12.9	15	10 - 58.0	Chadwick et al., 2005	Wind tunnel
USA	120	26.0	-	1	-	Gameh et al., 1990	Controlled env. study
Netherlands	120	32.0	-	1	-	Velthof et al., 1990	Wind tunnel
UK	120	30.3	10.8	2	22.6 - 37.9	Van der Weerden & Jarvis, 1997	Wind tunnel
UK	150	23.0	-	1	-	Chadwick et al., 2005	Wind tunnel
Waikato NZ	150	4.2 #	-	-	-	Zaman et al., 2008	Intermittent aspiration
Argentina	180	26.7	-	1	-	Barbieri & Echeverria, 2003	Semi-open static
Canterbury NZ	200	33.0	-	1	-	Black et al., 1985b	aspirated chamber
Canterbury NZ	300	33.2	-	1	-	Black et al., 1987	aspirated chamber
USA	300	37.8	3.2	2	35.5 - 40.0	Knight et al., 2007	Controlled env. study

Table 6.1 Direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from broadcast granulated urea fertiliser

result from a very wet period
high rainfall the day following urea application, dissolved and moved the fertilizer below the surface producing this anomalously low result.



Figure 5. Field measurements of ammonia emissions from 54 studies of urea fertiliser surface-applied at various rates to grassland soil

6.2 <u>Ammonia emissions from other nitrogenous fertilisers</u>

After urea, the second most important nitrogenous fertiliser used in New Zealand is diammonium phosphate (DAP) and collectively these two fertilisers account for almost all of the N-fertiliser used in New Zealand (W. Catto, pers.com). Relatively few studies have attempted to quantify $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from both of these fertilisers simultaneously under field conditions (Sommer & Jensen, 1994). But it is only through such studies that emissions from DAP are easily scaled with respect to those from urea, and reveal any rate dependant relationship of the sort identified for urea (section 6.1).

The work undertaken by Scott Black and his colleagues at Lincoln University in the mid 1980s appears to be the first in NZ dealing with $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from fertilisers other than urea. Those researchers carried out a series of five studies measuring emissions from urea, DAP, ammonium sulphate (AS) and calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) applied at rates of 30 kg N/ha across a range of seasons (Black et al., 1985b). Emissions of the applied-N averaged: urea 11.9 %, DAP 5.3 %, AS 1.0 % and CAN 0.8 %. So in those studies carried

out on a Templeton silt loam soil in Canterbury, the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from DAP were *ca* 0.45 of those from an equivalent surface application of urea.

But how universal are those observations ? Are the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions sustained from DAP always substantially less than those sustained from urea? The work of Whitehead and Raistrick (1990) indicates that in most situations the answer is yes. As was noted previously (section 2.3.2), surface soil pH is a major factor influencing NH_{3(g)} volatilisation. In their labbased study, Whitehead and Raistrick (1990) showed clearly that there is a close relationship between the extent of volatilisation, expressed as a percentage of the applied ammonium-N or urea-N, and the pH attained after 24 hours by the corresponding mixtures of soil and fertiliser. In that study, non-calcareous soils with pHs between 5.5 and 7.1 always showed considerably lower $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from DAP than from urea, in ratios (~ 0.30) not inconsistent with those found by Black et al. (1985b). The only exception was for a very calcareous soil (75 % free CaCO₃) which suffered higher $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from DAP than from urea (Table 6.2). Those authors attributed that peculiar behaviour to the formation of CaHPO₄, octacalcium phosphate and apatite. Essentially the formation of these very insoluble calcium phosphate salts helps to solubilise $CaCO_{3(s)}$ and release the pH raising carbonate ion, $CO_3^{2^-}(aq)$, into the soil solution. A higher soil pH favours the formation of volatilisable $NH_{3(aq)}$ in the soil solution and enhances $NH_{3(g)}$ loss.

Sommer and Jensen (1994) conducted similar experiments but with wind tunnels under field conditions in Denmark. They applied various N-fertilisers at rates of between 80 – 120 kgN/ha to a sandy, non-calcareous soil growing either ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* L.) pasture or winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and measured the resulting $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions over the following 15 – 20 days. In their studies, emissions of $NH_{3(g)}$ from DAP were consistently 25 – 50 % lower than from urea. And with the exception of the single study carried out on a calcareous soil, all of the other 12 comparative measurements reviewed consistently show $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from DAP are less than those sustained from urea (Table 6.2). On average the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from DAP were 43 % (SD 17 %) of those sustained from urea applied at the same rate.

6.3 <u>Influence of Urease Inhibitors</u>

Urea-based fertilisers which include urease inhibitors are now commercially available. Principal amongst these products are those which include N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric triamide (nBTPT), a very effective urease inhibitor. Urea fertilisers containing nBTPT, known commercially as 'Agrotain', are now available in NZ⁹. The inclusion of a small amount of nBTPT in these formulations retards the rate of urea hydrolysis. One of the consequences of this is a significant reduction in the proportion of the applied-N that is emitted as $NH_{3(g)}$ (Singh et al. 2008c).

The first (unpublished) experiments in NZ to clearly demonstrate this effect were carried out in Canterbury by Scott Black and his colleagues at Lincoln University in the mid 1980s. Two urease inhibitors were sourced and studied. Phenyl phosphorodiamidate (PPD) was available commercially, but nBTPT wasn't. However, a small quantity (~ 1g) of nBTPT was supplied by one of the principal nitrogen fertiliser researchers of that time: the late Prof Jack Bremner from Iowa State University. Black and his colleagues formulated those urease inhibitors into hand-made urea granules of uniform size and applied them, along with hand-made unamended urea granules to a Templeton silt loam pasture soil. The results are summarised in Table 6.3. Both inhibitors proved very effective in reducing NH_{3(g)} volatilisation, but of the two inhibitors nBTPT was the more effective.

The single urea manufacturer in NZ at that time (Petrochem) did not have the resources to pursue the development of an alternative, nBTPT-impregnated, product. However overseas companies continued the necessary development and certification work required and products now containing nBTPT, suitable for use on grazed pastures are available worldwide. There is no doubt that the incorporation of nBTPT does retard and reduce $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation losses from granulated urea. The very extensive series of field trials undertaken by Chadwick et al. (2005) in the UK showed nBTPT incorporated into urea at rates of 0.05 - 0.1 % reduced $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions by an average of 70 % (range 41-100 %) compared with unamended urea. More recently, research undertaken in NZ by Singh et al. (2008b) has confirmed that the nBTPT-containing commercial urea fertilisers; SustaiN Yellow and SustaiN Green, both emitted just 2.8 % of their N content as $NH_{3(g)}$. This represents a 40 % reduction in $NH_{3(g)}$ emission compared with ordinary urea applied at a rate of 100 kgN/ha.

6.4 <u>Discussion</u>

The reviewed data for broadcast urea fertiliser are consistent with a 'rate of application effect' whereby the proportion of the applied-N emitted as $NH_{3(g)}$ increases as the rate of urea application increases. Emissions are lowest (~ 11 %) at rates of urea-N typically applied by NZ pastoral farmers (i.e. ≤ 45 kgN/ha) but reach ~ 30 % at rates of 300 kgN/ha. The data for DAP are more sparse, but there too a 'rate of application effect' appears to exist. Like urea, emissions are lowest (~ 5%) at rates of DAP typically applied by NZ farmers. Frac_{GASF} for NZ is dominated by the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from both of these fertilisers. A weighted mean for their total contribution to $Frac_{GASF}$ can be estimated using the following conservative assumptions:

- Of the fertiliser used in NZ, 80 % is urea applied at \leq 45 kgN/ha per application.
- The proportion of that applied urea-N emitted as $NH_{3(g)}$ -N (i.e. its 'emission factor') is 10.8 %.
- The remaining 20 % of the fertiliser used in NZ is predominantly DAP whose emission factor is 4.6 % (i.e. 43 % of the corresponding urea emission factor).

The resulting weighted mean value of 0.096 (i.e. 9.6 %) is very similar to the value of 0.1; NZ's currently used default value for $Frac_{GASF}$. But that weighted mean value does not take into account the use of urea incorporating urease inhibitors or the contribution from fertiliser-derived NOx. Fertiliser-derived NOx will be addressed in the following section.

⁹ For example: SustaiN Yellow, SustaiN Green and SustaiN FPA available through Summit Quinphos all contain nBTPT

Location	Fertiliser	Rate(s) of Application (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Mean % Urine-N volatilised	Variability (SD)	Ν	Range	Reference	Methodology
Canterbury NZ	urea	30	11.9	2.9	5	7.4-15.0	Black et al 1985b	aspirated chamber
Canterbury NZ	DAP	30	5.3	2.6	5	2.2-8.2	Black et al. 1985b	aspirated chamber
UK	urea	100	28.3	8.7	3	21.0-38.0	Whitehead & Raistrick 1990	Controlled env. study
UK	DAP	100	8.0	2.6	3	5.0-9.5	Whitehead & Raistrick 1990	Controlled env. study
UK	Urea	100 *	41.0		1	-	Whitehead & Raistrick 1990	Controlled env. study
UK	DAP	100 *	51.0	-	1	-	Whitehead & Raistrick 1990	Controlled env. study
Denmark	Urea	80-120	25.0	5.4	4	17.8-29.8	Sommer & Jensen, 1994	Wind tunnel
Denmark	DAP	80-120	14.4	4.5	5	9.9-19.9	Sommer & Jensen, 1994	Wind tunnel

Table 6.2 Simultaneous direct measurements of ammonia volatilisation from broadcast diammonium phosphate and urea fertilisers

* fertilisers added to calcareous soil (75% free CaCO₃)

Table 6.3. Cumulative ammonia losses (% of N-applied) 20 days after broadcast application of urea (100 kgN/ha) impregnated with various amounts of the urease inhibitors: PPD and nBTPT [#].

Granule composition – Inhibitor / urea (% by weight) -	
--	--

	0	0.01	0.1	1.0	
No inhibitor	19.0	-	-	-	
nBTPT	-	8.3	4.6	2.8	
PPD	-	-	13.9	5.2	

[#] Unpublished results of work by Black et al., Canterbury NZ, 1985.

Section 7. Review of International and NZ data on NOx emissions from pastoral agriculture

7.1 NOx emissions from animal excreta and fertilized pasture

Interest in NOx emissions from soils received a major boost in 1978 following the publication of a paper in the journal 'Nature' by Galbally & Roy (1978). Those researchers used a sensitive chemiluminescence procedure to make the first ever direct field measurements of NO_(g) emissions from soils; their work focussing on grazed and ungrazed pasture soils in Australia. Emission rates averaged 1.6 ng NO-N m⁻² s⁻¹ for ungrazed and 3.5 ng NO-N m⁻² s⁻¹ for grazed pastures (equivalent to annual emission rates of 0.5 and 1.1 kg NO-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ respectively). That research, and much of the subsequent research by others, has been focussed mainly at "bottom-up" efforts to develop and refine Earth's global NOx emission inventory. Relatively few studies have attempted to quantify specifically the NOx emissions following urine and/or dung depositions on pasture. The results of the few studies which have are summarised in Table 7.1. Also summarised there are some of the more relevant field-derived data from studies of NOx emissions from fertiliser-N applied to pasture.

7.2 <u>Discussion</u>

Of most relevance to this current review is the recent research carried out by Shabana Khan as part of her PhD programme at Lincoln University (Khan, unpublished 2008). Shabana carried out two field experiments; one under summertime (warm and dry) and another under wintertime (cool and wet), conditions. She was able to show, using an aspirated chamber technique with chemiluminescence detection, that winter-time NOx emissions from urine patches in Canterbury were barely measurable. In contrast, summertime NOx emissions¹⁰ were readily measurable but amounted to only 0.09 - 0.29 % of the urine-N applied at 1000 and 500 kg N/ha respectively in the 80 day period following urine application (Table 7.1). In contrast to NH_{3(g)} volatilisation, percentage emissions as NOx did not increase with application rate; indeed percentage emissions from the higher urine-N application treatment (1000 kg urine-N/ha) were actually less than those sustained from the 500 kg urine-N/ha application.

 $^{^{10}}$ Of the 'NOx' emissions measured by Khan, almost all was as nitric oxide (NO). Almost no nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) was emitted.

Assuming the summertime and wintertime values obtained represent the likely extremes for NOx emissions from urine patches throughout NZ, and assuming also that NOx emissions from dung are similar to those from urine, then the contribution of NOx-N to $Frac_{GASM}$ would amount to only ~ 0.1 % (or 0.001). This is a tiny contribution to $Frac_{GASM}$, being 2 orders of magnitude less than the much greater contribution due to $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation (i.e 10 % or ~ 0.1) (Section 8.1).

Surprisingly, we could find no references to field studies involving the measurement of NOx emissions following the application of urea fertiliser to pasture. One recent study of NOx emissions from urea by Meijide et al. (2007) involved a maize crop. In that study, NOx emissions amounted to just 0.13 % of the applied urea-N over the 150 days following urea application at 175 kg urea-N/ha. A few studies involving fertiliser-N applied to pasture have involved ammonium nitrate, NH₄NO₃ (Harrison et al. 1995; Williams et al. 1998). The weighted mean NOx-N emissions across the 18 NH₄NO₃ studies reported in Table 7.1 are 0.27 % of the applied fertiliser-N. In the absence of reliable information for NOx emissions from urea applied to pasture we are almost obliged to assume a similar value for the contribution of NOx-N to NZ's Frac_{GASF}. Making that assumption, we tentatively conclude that the NOx–N emissions from fertiliser use in NZ probably amount to just 3 % of the much more important NH_{3(g)} emissions. Together with the proposed contributions of NH_{3(g)} from urea and DAP applied at less than 45 kgN/ha (0.096, section 6.4), the overall value for Frac_{GASF} (0.096 + 0.003 = 0.099) conforms closely to NZ's currently employed value of 0.1.

Location	Season	Duration (Days)	N Source	Rate(s) of Application (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Mean % Applied-N emitted	Variability (SD)	N	Range	Reference	Methodology
Canterbury NZ	Summer	80	Cow urine	1000	0.087	0.027	3	0.06-0.11	Khan, 2008 unpublished	aspirated chamber
Canterbury NZ	Summer	80	Cow urine	500	0.285	0.060	3	0.22-0.33	Khan, 2008 unpublished	aspirated chamber
Canterbury NZ	Winter	42	Cow urine	1000	0.0008	0.0001	3	5 - 7 (x 10 ⁻⁴)	Khan, 2008 unpublished	aspirated chamber
Canterbury NZ	Winter	42	Cow urine	500	0.0006	0.00007	3	-	Khan, 2008 unpublished	aspirated chamber
UK	Summer	13	Cow urine	447	0.032	-	1	-	Colbourn et al., 1987	aspirated chamber
Australia	Summer	28	Sheep urine	205	0.00087	-	1	-	Bronson et al., 1999	aspirated chamber
Japan	Autumn & Winter	175	Pig urine + excreta	601	0.45	-	1	-	Watanabe et al., 1997	aspirated chamber
Japan	Autumn & Winter	175	Cattle urine + Cattle excreta	1161	0.48	-	1	-	Watanabe et al., 1997	aspirated chamber
Spain	Winter	150	urea	175	0.130	0.03	3	?	Meijide et al., 2007	aspirated chamber
Spain	Winter	150	Pig slurry	175	0.068	0.03	3	?	Meijide et al., 2007	aspirated chamber
UK	Spring	25	NH ₄ NO ₃	48	0.39	?	4	?	Harrison et al., 1995	aspirated chamber
UK	Spring	25	NH ₄ NO ₃	96	0.36	?	4	?	Harrison et al., 1995	aspirated chamber
UK	Spring	25	NH ₄ NO ₃	192	0.39	?	4	?	Harrison et al., 1995	aspirated chamber
UK	Autumn	24	NH ₄ NO ₃	120	0.06	?	6	?	Williams et al., 1998	aspirated chamber

Table 7.1Direct measurements of NOx emissions from herbivore urine, excreta or N fertiliser applied to pasture: International & New Zealand data

General Discussion, Conclusions and recommendations Section 8.

8.1 **Frac**GASM

As clearly shown in sections 4 and 5, overseas data reported in the international literature can be used to assist in the re-evaluation of a country-specific Frac_{GASM} for New Zealand. Using mean international NH₃-N emission data obtained by chamber and wind tunnel measurements (Tables 4.1, 4.2 & 8.1), and assuming a urine-N: dung-N split of 68 %: 32 % ¹¹, the proportion of excreted-N emitted as NH₃-N can be estimated as 9.3 (SD ~ 4.5) %. This value transposed into a New Zealand context would equate to a Frac_{GASM} value of 0.093 (or 0.094 if the very minor contribution due to NOx is included)¹². Recall the current value used in NZ's inventory is 0.2.

If we now perform the same calculation on the much more limited number of chamber measurements of urine and dung in NZ (section 5.1, Tables 5.1, 5.2 & 8.1) the proportion of excreted-N emitted as NH₃-N can be estimated as 12.3 %. This value transposed into a New Zealand context would equate to a Frac_{GASM} value of 0.123 (or 0.124 when the very minor contribution due to NOx emissions is included). On the basis of these two values alone (0.094 and 0.124) a downwards revision of NZ's Frac_{GASM} from 0.2 to 0.1 would seem appropriate and justifiable.

Recommendation 1:

A New Zealand specific value of 0.1 for Frac_{GASM} be considered for adoption.

But a case might be made for an even larger reduction in NZ's Frac_{GASM} value if instead of focussing just on chamber and wind tunnel measurements, a greater emphasis is placed on the international "whole system" (IHF) measurements described in section 4.2 (Tables 4.3 & 8.1). Within that suite of data, the highest average net NH₃-N emissions occurred from the 47 studies of cattle grazing on perennial ryegrass pasture that received at least 400 kgN/ha/yr of fertiliser-N¹³. The weighted mean value of the NH₃-N emissions from those 47 grazing events is 9.6 % of the excreta-N. This is remarkably similar to the two values derived independently above (i.e. 9.3 & 12.3 %). But at lower rates of fertilization of between 210 and 250 kgN/ha/yr,

¹¹ This ratio is assumed to be the same as that delivered by OVERSEER for herbivore excreta in NZ as reported by Kelliher et al. (2005).

¹² Assuming that the NOx emission factor for excreta-N is ~ 0.001 (section 7.2). ¹³ The fertilizer-N was either non-volatile, or the fertilizer emissions were taken into account separately.

23 grazing events gave a weighted mean value for the NH₃-N emissions of just 4.2 % of the excreta-N. And from the 9 studies involving beef cattle or dairy cows grazing unfertilised grass/clover pastures the slightly lower weighted mean of 3.3 % was obtained. As pointed out already (Section 5.3) these last two values bracket the only similar study carried out on unfertilised ryegrass-clover pasture in NZ (4.0 %). So from these "whole system" IHF studies that don't employ chambers it could be argued that a NZ specific value of ~ 0.04 for Frac_{GASM} might be more appropriate than the value (0.1) based on chamber and wind tunnel data alone. But such a recommendation is premature at this time since the number of local non-chamber based studies of NH_{3(g)} emissions from grazed pastures are limited to those few reported by Ledgard et al. (1999). More non-chamber studies to refine Frac_{GASM} are clearly warranted.

Recommendation 2:

Research be supported for "whole system" non-chamber measurements of grazed pasture systems to further refine the New Zealand specific value for Frac_{GASM}.

To help give scale to these recommendations we consider the direct effects on NZ's anthropogenic GHG inventory of altering $Frac_{GASM}$ as indicated above. Changing $Frac_{GASM}$ from 0.2 to 0.1 reduces NZ's 2006 N₂O inventory by *ca* 6 % from 41.075 to 38.620 Gg N₂O¹⁴. This difference of 2.455 Gg N₂O equates to 761.05 Gg CO₂-equivalents. Should future research justify a reduction of $Frac_{GASM}$ to ~ 0.04, the 2006 inventory would reduce by 3.928 Gg N₂O which equates to 1,218 Gg CO₂-equivalents.

8.2 <u>Frac_{GASF}</u>

There remains a dearth of reliable information concerning NOx emissions following urea or DAP application to pastures. We were obliged therefore to look to measurements involving other fertiliser types (e.g. NH₄NO₃) or urea applied to non-pasture crops. Our best estimate for the contribution of NOx to $Frac_{GASF}$ in NZ is ≤ 0.003 (Tables 7.1 & 8.1). This tiny contribution is overshadowed by the much larger NH_{3(g)} emissions from both urea and DAP. A weighted mean value for the NH_{3(g)} emissions sustained from these two fertilisers applied at rates typically applied to NZ pasture (≤ 45 kgN/ha) was 9.6 % of the applied fertiliser-N (Section 6.4). These two components of $Frac_{GASF}$ sum to 0.099 (i.e. 0.096 + 0.003) which is essentially identical to the value NZ currently uses (0.1). Currently NZ uses

¹⁴ EXCEL spreadsheet "Ag-2008 submission nir table builder.XLS" supplied by S. Petrie (MfE) July 2008.

a default value of 0.1 for $Frac_{GASF}$. We believe now there is sufficient justification to make the following recommendation:

Recommendation 3:

A New Zealand specific value of 0.1 for Frac_{GASF} be considered for adoption.

An issue of relevance to $Frac_{GASF}$ that was mentioned earlier (Section 2.3.1) is the potential that exists to markedly reduce $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions following fertiliser application if the fertiliser is irrigated into the soil soon after application, or indeed if the farmer applies the fertiliser just prior to a significant rainfall event (Black et al., 1987a). Some situations (e.g. irrigated dairying in Canterbury) lend themselves better to this possible practice than others. However, it is unlikely, given the relatively small agronomic N-losses that occur normally, whether many farmers would bother deliberately implementing this strategy just to reduce $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions. Nevertheless the possibility for rain or irrigation to reduce $NH_{3(g)}$

As well as irrigation, another mitigation tool which reduces $NH_{3(g)}$ volatilisation is urea impregnated, or coated, with urease inhibitor (Section 6.3 & Table 6.3). Farmers may justify the use of these fertilisers if they result in greater N uptake and dry matter (DM) production but are unlikely to use them just to mitigate $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions. Nevertheless, both timely irrigation and the use of urease inhibitors are tools which have the potential to reduce $Frac_{GASF}$ and thereby, in principle, reduce indirect N₂O emissions from NZ agriculture.

To give scale to the effects such strategies might have on NZ's N_2O inventory we present two, albeit rather optimistic, scenarios which themselves neglect any possible secondary detrimental (pollution swapping) effects e.g. the stimulation of direct N_2O emissions induced by excessive irrigation of the soil:

Scenario 1: Farmers employ irrigation immediately following urea (or DAP) fertiliser application, and fertiliser application is timed to coincide with significant rainfall. It is assumed that these strategies are employed to the extent that half of the N-fertiliser used in NZ sustains just 10 % of its 'normal' emissions. The resulting overall reduction in $Frac_{GASF}$ from 0.1 to 0.055, would reduce NZ's N₂O inventory by 0.234 Gg N₂O or 72 Gg CO₂equivalents. Scenario 2: The use of urea treated with urease inhibitors gains ~ 50 % of market share for all urea fertiliser used in NZ and the $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from such fertilisers are reduced by 40 % (Section 6.3). The resulting overall reduction in $Frac_{GASF}$ from 0.1 to 0.08, would reduce NZ's N₂O inventory by 0.104 Gg N₂O or 32 Gg CO₂-equivalents.

Recommendation 4:

Research be supported to explore the efficacy of urease inhibitors to reduce $NH_{3(g)}$ emissions from urea fertiliser in New Zealand, with a view of further refining NZ's $Frac_{GASF}$ value.

Location	N-Source	Notes	Gas	Mean % N	N	CV *	Type of Study	Section
Location			emitted	emission	1	(%)	Type of Study	(this review)
International	Urine	-	NH ₃	12.9	41	49	Wind tunnel and chamber	4.1
International	Dung	-	NH ₃	1.5	4	26	Wind tunnel and chamber	4.1
International	Cattle excreta	~ 400kgN fert./yr	NH ₃	9.6	47	NA	"whole system" grazing (IHF)	4.2
International	Cattle excreta	~ 200kgN fert./yr	NH ₃	4.2	23	NA	"whole system" grazing (IHF)	4.2
International	Cattle excreta	Grazed grass-clover	NH ₃	3.3	9	NA	"whole system" grazing (IHF)	4.2
England	Sheep excreta	Grazed grass-clover	NH ₃	1.3	17	NA	"whole system" grazing (IHF)	4.2
New Zealand	Cattle urine	150-1000 kgN/ha	NH ₃	15.9	19	96	Aspirated chamber	5.1
New Zealand	Cattle dung	650-1300 kgN/ha	NH ₃	4.5	4	4	Aspirated chamber	5.1
New Zealand	Cattle excreta	Grazed grass-clover	NH ₃	4.0	3	7.5	"whole system" grazing (IHF)	5.2
International & NZ	Urea	\leq 45 kg urea-N/ha	NH ₃	10.8	19	27	Wind tunnel, chamber & IHF	6.1
International & NZ	DAP	\leq 45 kg urea-N/ha	NH ₃	4.6	13	NA	Lab, chamber & wind tunnel	6.4
NZ	Urine	500-1000ha	NOx	0.1	12	143	Aspirated chamber	7.2
International	Urea & NH ₄ NO ₃	48-192 kgN/ha	NOx	0.3	21	160	Aspirated chamber	7.2

Table 8.1Summary of Emission Factors reviewed in previous sections

* %CV = (Standard deviation ÷ Mean) x 100 %

References

- Ball, P.R., Keeney, D.R., Theobald, P.W., Nes, P. (1979). Nitrogen balance in urine-affected areas of a New Zealand pasture. *Agronomy Journal* **71**: 309 314.
- Ball, P. R., Ryden, J.C. (1984). Nitrogen relationships in intensively managed temperate grasslands. *Plant and Soil* 76: 23-33.
- Barbieri, P.A., Echeverria, H.E. (2003). Evolution of ammonia volatilization losses from urea applied in autumn and spring to tall wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum ponticum*) pasture. . *Revista-de-Investigaciones-Agropecuarias* **32**: 17-29.
- Barlow, E.W.R. (1974). Nitrogen transformations and volatilization in sheep urine patches. *Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science*. March 51-52.
- Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Smith, N.P., Cameron, K.C., Goh, K.M. (1984). Effect of previous urine application on ammonia volatilisation from 3 nitrogen fertilizers. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* **27**: 413-416.
- Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Cameron, K.C., Smith, N.P., Goh, K.M. (1985a). Comparison of three field methods for measuring ammonia volatilization from urea granules broadcast on to pasture. *Journal of Soil Science* **36**: 271-280.
- Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Smith, N.P., Cameron, K.C., Goh, K.M. (1985b). Effects of form of nitrogen, season and urea application rate on ammonia volatilisation from pastures. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* **28**: 469 474
- Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Smith, N.P. (1987a). Effect of timing of simulated rainfall on ammonia volatilization from urea, applied to soil of varying moisture content. *Journal of Soil Science* **38**: 679-687.
- Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Smith, N.P. (1987b). Effect of urea granule size on ammonioa volatilization from surface-applied urea. *Fertilizer Research* **11**: 87-96.
- Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Smith, N.P., Cameron, K.C. (1989). Ammonia volatilisation from urea broadcast in spring on to autumn-sown wheat. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* **17**: 175-182.
- Bronson, K.F., Sparling, G.P., Fillery, I.R.P. (1999). Short-term N dynamics following application of ¹⁵N-labelled urine to a sandy soil in summer. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **31**: 1049 1057
- Bussink, D.W. (1992). Ammonia volatilization from grassland receiving nitrogen fertilizer and rotationally grazed by dairy cattle. *Fertilizer Research* **33**: 257-265.
- Bussink, D.W. (1994). Relationships between ammonia volatilization and nitrogen fertilizer application rate, intake and excretion of herbage nitrogen by cattle on grazed swards. *Fertilizer Research* **38**: 111-121.

- Bussink, D.W., Oenema, O. (1998). Ammonia volatilization from dairy farming systems in temperate areas: a review. *Nutrient cycling in agricultural ecosystems*. **51**: 19 33
- Carran, R. A., Ball, R.P., Theobald, P.W., Collins, M.E.G. (1982). Soil Nitrogen in urineaffected areas under two moisture regimes in Southland. *New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **10**: 377-381.
- Chadwick, D., Misselbrook, T., Gilhespy, S., Williams, J., Bhogal, A., Sagoo, L., Nicholson.,
 F., Webb., S.A., Chambers, B. (2005). Ammonia emissions from nitrogen fertilizer applications to grassland and tillage land. In: WP1b Ammonia emissions and crop N use efficiency. Component report for Defra Project NT2605 (CSA 6579). 71p.
- Clough, T. J., Sherlock, R. R., Mautner, M. N., Milligan, D. B., Wilson, P. F., Freeman, C. G., McEwan, M. J. (2003). Emission of nitrogen oxides and ammonia from varying rates of applied synthetic urine and correlations with soil chemistry. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* **41**: 421-438.
- Colbourn, P., Ryden, J.C., Dollard, G.J. (1987). Emission of NOx from urine-treated pasture. *Environmental Pollution* **46**: 253 - 261
- Doak, B.K. (1952). Some chemical changes in the nitrogenous constituents of urine when voided on pasture. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **42**: 162 171
- Denmead, O.T., Simpson, J.R., Freney, J.R. (1974). Ammonia flux into the atmosphere from a grazed pasture. *Science* **185**: 609 610.
- Di, H.J., Cameron, K.C. (2004). Treating grazed pasture soil with a nitrification inhibitor, eco-N, to decrease nitrate leaching in a deep sandy soil under spray irrigation a lysimeter study. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* **47**: 351 361
- Firestone, M.K., Davidson, E.A. (1989). Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O production and consumption in soil. In 'Exchange of trace gases between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere.' (Eds MO Andreae,DS Schimel) pp.7-21. (John Wiley and Sons Ltd: New York).
- Galbally, I.E., Roy, C.R. (1978). Loss of fixed nitrogen from soils by nitric oxide exhalation. *Nature* **275**: 734 - 735
- Gameh, M.A., Angle, J.S., Axley, J.H. (1990). Effects of urea-potassium chloride and nitrogen transformations on ammonia volatilzation from urea. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **54**: 1768 1772
- Harrison, R., Webb, J. (2001). A review of the effect of N fertilizer type on gaseous emissions. *Advances in Agronomy* **73**: 65-108
- Harrison, R.M., Yamulki, S., Goulding, K.W.T., Webster, C.P. (1995). Effect of fertilizer application on NO and N₂O fluxes from agricultural soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 100: 25,923 - 25,931

- Jarvis, S.C. Hatch, D.J., Lockyer, D.R. (1989). Ammonia fluxes from grazed grassland: annual losses from cattle production systems and their relation to nitrogen inputs. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **113**: 99-108.
- Jarvis, S.C., Hatch, D.J., Orr, R.J., Reynolds, S.E. (1991). Micrometeorological studies of ammonia emission from sheep grazed swards. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *Cambridge* 117: 101-109.
- Kuai, L., Verstraete, W. (1998). Ammonium removal by the oxygen-limited autotropic nitrification-denitrification system. <u>Applied Environmental.Microbiology</u> 64: 4500-4506.
- Knight, E.C., Guertal, E.A. Wood, C.W. (2007). Mowing and nitrogen source effects ammonia volatilization from turfgrass. *Crop Science* **47**: 1628 1634
- Knowles, R. (1982). Denitrification Microbiological Reviews 46: 43 70
- Ledgard, S.F., Penno, J.W., Sprosen, M.S. (1999). Nitrogen inputs and losses from clover/grass pastures grazed by dairy cows, as affected by nitrogen fertlizer application. *Journal of Agricultural Science Cambridge* **132**: 215-225.
- Ledgard, S.F., Sprosen, M.S., Brier, G.J., Nemaia, E.K.K., Clark, D.A. (1996). Nitrogen inputs and losses from New Zealand dairy farmlets, as affected by nitrogen fertilizer application: year one. *Plant and Soil* **181**: 65-69.
- Ledgard, S.F. (2001). Nitrogen cycling in low input legume-based agriculture, with emphasis on legume/grass pastures. Plant & Soil **228**: 43 59
- Leuning, R., Freney, J.R., Denmead, O.T., Simpson, J.R. (1985). A sampler for measuring atmospheric ammonia flux. *Atmospheric Environment* **19**: 1117 1124
- Lockyer, D.R., Whitehead, D.C. (1990). Volatilization of ammonia from cattle urine applied to grassland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **22**: 1137–1152.
- McGarity, J.M., Rajaratnam, J.A. (1973). Apparatus for the measurement of losses of nitrogen as gas from the field and simulated field experiments. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **5**: 121 131.
- Meijide, A., Diez, J.A., Sanchez-Martin, L., Lopez-Fernandez, S., Vallejo, A. (2007). Nitrogen oxide emissions from an irrigated maize crop amended with treated pig slurries and composts in a Mediterranean climate. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 121: 383 - 394
- Menneer, J.C., Ledgard, S. Sprosen, M. (2008). Soil N process inhibitors alter nitrogen leaching dynamics in a pumice soil. Australian Journal of Soil Research 46: 323 -331.
- Misselbrook, T.H., Van Der Weerden, T.J., Pain, B.F., Jarvis, S.C., Chambers, B.J., Smith, K.A., Phillips, V.R., Demmers, T.G.M. (2000). Ammonia emission factors for UK agriculture. *Atmospheric environment* **34**: 871 880.

- Ryden, J.C., Whitehead, D.C., Lockyer, D.R., Thompson, R.B., Skinner, J.H., Garwood, E.A. (1987). Ammonia emission from grassland and livestock production systems in the UK *Environmental Pollution* **48**: 173 184.
- Saarijarvi, K., Mattila, P.K., Virkajarvi, P. (2006). Ammonia volatilization from artificial dung and urine patches measured by the equilibrium concentration technique (JTI method). *Atmospheric-Environment* **40**: 5137 5145.
- Sherlock, R.R., Sommer, S.G., Khan, R.Z., Wood, C.W., Guertal, E.A., Freney, J.R., Dawson, C.O. Cameron, K.C. (2002). Emission of ammonia, methane and nitrous oxide from pig slurry applied to pasture in New Zealand. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **31**: 1491 - 1501.
- Sherlock, R.R., Goh, K.M. (1984). Dynamics of ammonia volatilization from simulated urine patches and aqueous urea applied to pasture. I. Field experiments. *Fertilizer Research* 5: 181-195.
- Sherlock, R.R., Goh, K.M. (1985a). Dynamics of ammonia volatilization from simulated urine patches and aqueous urea applied to pasture. II. Theoretical derivation of a simplified model. *Fertilizer Research* **6**: 3-22.
- Sherlock, R.R., Goh, K.M. (1985b). Dynamics of ammonia volatilization from simulated urine patches and aqueous urea applied to pasture. III. Field validation of a simplified model. *Fertilizer Research* **6**: 23-36.
- Sherlock, R.R., Freney, J R., Smith, N.P., Cameron K.C. (1989). Evaluation of a sampler for assessing ammonia losses from fertlized fields. *Fertilizer Research* **21**: 61-66.
- Sherlock, R.R., van der Weerden, T.J. (1992). New methods for measuring ammonia release from urine and ammoniacal wastes applied to pasture. In: The Use of Wastes and Byproducts as Fertilizers and Soil Amendments for Pasture and Crops. (Eds. P.E.H. Gregg and L.D. Currie) Occasional Report No 6, Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, pp. 287-296.
- Sherlock, R.R., Freney, J.R., Bacon, P.E., van der Weerden, T.J. (1995). Estimating ammonia volatilization from unsaturated urea fertilised and urine affected soils by an indirect method. *Fertilizer Research* **40**: 197-205
- Singh, J., Saggar, S., Bolan, N. (2008a). The influence of dicyandiamide on nitrogen transformations and losses in pasture soil cores. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* (submitted).
- Singh, J., Bolan, N., Saggar, S. (2008b). Impact of urease inhibitor on nitrogen dynamics in pasture cores receiving urea fertiliser and cattle urine. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* (submitted).
- Singh, J., Saggar, S., Bolan, N., Zaman, M. (2008c). The role of inhibitors in the bioavailability and mitigation of nitrogen losses in grassland ecosystems. In: Developments in Soil Science (Ed. R. Naidu) Volume 32, Chapter 15: 327 - 360.

- Skiba, U., Smith, K.A., Fowler, D. (1993). Nitrification and denitrification as sources of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide in a sandy loam soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25 (11): 1527-1536.
- Sommer, S.G., Jensen, C. (1994). Ammonia volatilization from urea and ammoniacal fertilizers surface applied to winter wheat and grassland. *Fertilizer Research* **37**: 85 92
- Stevenson, F. (1994). Humus chemistry:genesis,composition,reactions. 2nd edn. (John Wiley and Sons: New York).
- Stevenson, F., Harrison, R., Wetselaar, R., Leeper, R.A. (1970). Nitrosation of soil organic matter.III. Nature of gases produces by reaction of nitrite with lignins, humic substances and phenolic constituents under natural and slightly acidic conditions. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 34: 430 - 435.
- Stevenson, F., Swaby, R.J. (1964). Nitrosation of soil organic matter: I. Nature of gases evolved during nitrous acid treatment of lignin and humic substances. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 28: 773 - 778.
- Sugimoto, Y., Ball, P.R., Theobald, P.W. (1992). Dynamics of nitrogen in cattle dung on pasture under different seasonal conditions I. Breakdown of dung and volatilization of ammonia. *Journal of Japanese Grassland Science* 38 (2): 160-166.
- Svensson, L. (1994). A new dynamic chamber technique for measuring ammonia emissions from land-spread manure and fertilizers. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica*. Section B. Soil & Plant Science 44: 35 - 46
- Thoran, K.A., Mikita, M.A. (2000). Nitrite fixation by humus substances: N-15 Nuclear magnetic Resonance evidence for potential intermediates in chemodenitrification. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **64**: 568 582.
- Trebs, I. (2001). Primarregenwalder und brandgerodete gebiete in Brasilien. Untersuchungen zur Friestzung und Aufnahme von stickstoffmonoxid (NO) an Bodenproben im Labormassstab, Msc thesis NO.58/00, Hochschule fur Technik, Wirtschaft und Kultur,Leipzig,Germany.
- UK National Inventory, 1990. GBR-2008-2006-v1.5.xls. Table 4.D. Sectoral Background Data for Agriculture Agricultural Soils .
- Vallis, I., Harper, L.A., Catchpoole, V.R., Weier, K.L. (1982). Volatilization of ammonia from urine patches in a subtropical pasture. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 33: 97-107
- Van Cleemput, O., Samater, A.H. (1996). Nitrite in soils: accumulation and role in the formation of gaseous N compounds. *Fertiliser Research* **45**: 81-89.
- Van Der Hoek, K.W. (1998). Estimating ammonia emission factors in Europe: summary of the work of the UNECE ammonia expert panel. Atmospheric Environment 32: 315 -316.

- Van Der Weerden, T.J., Jarvis, S.C. (1997). Ammonia emission factors for N fertilizers applied to two contrasting grassland soils. *Environmental Pollution* **95**: 205 211
- Velthof, G.L., Oenema, O., Postmus, J., Prins, W.H. (1990). In-situ field measurements of ammonia volatilization from urea and calcium ammonium nitrate applied to grassland. *Mestoffen* 1990: 41 - 45
- Venterea, R., Rolston, D. (2000). Mechanistic modeling of nitrite accumulation and nitrogen oxide gas emissions during nitrification. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29: 1741-1751.
- Vertregt, N.R., Rutgers, B. (1991). Ammonia emissions from grazing. *In* "Odour and ammonia emissions from livestock farming" (V. C. V. Nielsen, J.H. L'Hermite, P., ed.), pp. 177-183. Elsevier Applied Science.
- Watanabe, T., Osada, T., Yoh, M., Tsuruta, H. (1997). N₂O and NO emissions from grassland soils after the application of cattle ans swine excreta. *Nutrient cycling in Agroecosystems* **49**: 35 39
- Watson, C.J., Miller, H., Poland, P., Kilpatrick, D.J., Allen, M.D.B., Garrett, M.K., Christianson, C.B. (1994). Soil properties and the ability of the urease inhibitor N-(nbutyl) thiophosphoric triamide (nBTPT) to reduce ammonia volatilization from surface-applied urea. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 26: 1165 - 1171.
- Whitehead, D.C., Bristow, A.W. (1990). Transformations of nitrogen following the application of 15 N-labelled cattle urine to an established grass sward. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **27**: 667-678.
- Whitehead, D.C., Raistrick, N. (1990). Ammonia volatilization from five nitrogen compounds used as fertilizers following surface application to soils. *Journal of Soil Science* **41**: 387 - 394
- Whitehead, D.C. (1986). Sources and transformations of organic nitrogen in intensively managed grassland soils. In: *Nitrogen fluxes in Intensive Grassland Systems* (Ed; H.G. van der Meer, J.C. Ryden, G.C. Ennik. pp 47-58. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht.
- Williams, P.H., Jarvis, S.C., Dixon, E. (1998). Emission of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide from soil under field and laboratory conditions. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 30: 1885 - 1893
- Wilson, J.D., Catchpoole, V.R., Denmead, O.T., Thurtell, G.W. (1983). Verification of a simple micrometeorological method for estimating the rate of gaseous mass transfer from the ground to the atmosphere. *Agricultural Meteorology* **29**: 183 189
- Wrage, N., Velthop, G.L. (2001). Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **33**: 1723-1732.
- Zaman, M., Nguyen, M.L., Blennerhassett, J.D., Quin, B.F. (2008). Reducing NH₃, N₂O and NO₃⁻-N losses from a pasture soil with urease or nitrification inhibitors and elemental S-amended nitrogenous fertilizers. Biology & Fertility of Soils. **44**: 693-705