Appendix 6: Reviewer Checklists

Introduction

The following checklist provides templates for questions that reviewers are encouraged to ask when carrying out reviews to aid NAEAC and MPI in their decision making. The checklists are based on questions from the previous *Template for Checklists for the Review of Code Holders and Animal Ethics Committees* and NAEAC's *Code of Ethical Conduct Template*. The recording of metrics also provides a quantifiable measure that can be used to track and assess code holder and AEC compliance with their code and the Act.

This revised checklist has been developed in collaboration between MPI, NAEAC and accredited reviewers.

1. Introduction/Background on the Activities of the Applicant

Scope of Activity	
Is the description of the general nature and extent of the RTT activities in which the applicant is engaged, or proposes to be engaged, appropriate?	
RTT and 3 Rs	
Is the organisation's philosophy towards RTT and the 3 Rs explicit and adequate?	
Responsible Persons	
Describe the individuals in the organisation who will be responsible for administering the CEC.	
Persons/Organisations under the CEC	
Describe the person(s) and/or organisation(s) to whom the CEC applies.	
Do all AEC members and researchers/investigators have access to a copy of the CEC?	

2. Establishment, Functions, Powers and Membership of the Animal Ethics Committee

Functions, Duties and Powers of the Committee	
Is the AEC clear on its functions, duties and powers under the Act?	
Membership of the AEC	
Is the code holder a member of the AEC? If not:	
- Is the senior representative of the company/organisation appointed by the chief executive? and;	
 Does that representative have the capability of evaluating the scientific or teaching value of every proposal? and; 	
- Is the senior representative endorsed by the AEC?	
Have the statutory external members been nominated appropriately by the respective organisations (Royal New Zealand Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (RNZSPCA), a territorial authority or regional council and the New Zealand Veterinary Association (NZVA)) and do they meet the requirements of the Act (Section 101)?	
Are statutory external members remunerated fairly?	
Additional Members	
Are there any additional members/organisational members?	
Is the actual membership of the AEC in accordance with the CEC?	

Γ	
Vacancies	T
Have there been any unexpected/prolonged absences during the current period of the CEC?	
Induction and Training of New Members	
Do AEC members appointed during the current CEC approval period consider that the induction and training was adequate?	
Terms of Appointment	
Do the terms and conditions for appointed members of the AEC align with the CEC?	
Is the appointment of the Deputy Chair in accordance with the CEC?	
3. AEC Procedures	
AEC Meetings	
Has the AEC determined its own procedures?	
Frequency of Meetings	
How many times has the AEC met during the current CEC approval period?	
How many of the meetings were face to face?	
Use of Tele/Video Conferencing	
Did the AEC use video/teleconferencing during the current CEC approval period? If so:	
- How many times and how does this compare to face-to-face meetings?	
- Did the tele/videoconferencing adhere to the policy and procedures described in the CEC?	
Timing for Circulation of Agenda Items	
How much notice was given to AEC members of meeting time and place?	
Do AEC members consider that meeting documents were circulated with sufficient time and in a manner to allow adequate preparation and consideration?	
Were adequate records of meetings kept?	
Quorum	
Was every meeting (including tele/video) quorate? If not:	
- How often was the meeting inquorate and what practices were put in place to deal with AEC business?	
Decision Process	
Were all decisions made by the AEC in accordance with the CEC?	
Conflicts of Interest	
Have conflicts of interest been sought and documented?	
How were perceived or real conflicts of interest handled by the AEC?	
Effective Input of Committee Members	
Do all AEC members consider that they were sufficiently supported/resourced to ensure they could provide effective input into the performance of the AEC during the current CEC approval period?	
Confidentiality	
How did the AEC manage the confidentiality and protection of confidential information?	
Consideration between Meetings	

Did the AEC consider any matters that arose between scheduled meetings?	
How many items were considered between scheduled meetings?	
What proportion of AEC decisions were made between scheduled meetings?	
Did the decision processes adhere to the policy and procedures described in the CEC?	
Did the AEC utilise sub-committees to consider matters between scheduled meetings? If so, were the processes in accordance with the CEC?	
Use of Subcommittees	
Does the AEC make use of subcommittees?	
Public Presence at Meetings	
Was there any public presence at AEC meetings?	
Applicant Presence at Meetings	
Were applicants allowed to be present at AEC meetings?	
Secretariat Support	
Do members of the AEC consider that the AEC has had adequate secretarial support during the current CEC approval period?	
Record Keeping and Information Management	
Has the AEC maintained documentation in order to meet the requirements of the CEC and the Act?	
Reporting of Statistics to MPI	
Has the code holder forwarded statistics on animal use and impact of use to MPI each year as required?	
Process to Amend the CEC	
Has the AEC recommended to the code holder that the CEC be amended during the current CEC approval period?	
I. Consideration of Projects by the AEC	
Criteria for Consideration	
The AEC must ensure that approved proposals meet the following criteria as set out in the Act (Section	100).
Does the proposal meet the purposes of Part 6, including the 3 Rs?	
Were the scientific or educational objectives clearly identified?	
What harm and distress will the animals experience and how will this be alleviated?	
Will the experimental design or demonstration meet the objectives?	
What factors have been considered regarding the choice of species?	
What statistical justification was provided for the proposed number of animals?	
What measures were in place to ensure the health and welfare of the animals?	
Will suitably qualified persons be involved in this project?	
Does the application provide information on the multiple use of animals and the effects on the welfare	
of those animals?	

Outcomes for Consideration	
Did the AEC utilise all potential outcomes as described in the CEC?	
What were the numbers for each outcome?	
Maximum Approval Period	
How did the AEC manage projects that spanned longer than the maximum approval period described in the CEC?	
Changes to Approved Applications	
Has the AEC made changes to approved projects, including minor modifications during the current CEC approval period? If so:	
- Were the processes in accordance with the CEC?	
Use of non-human hominids	
Did the Director-General give approval for use of non-human hominids?	
Power to Suspend, Revoke and Vary Approvals	
Has the AEC exercised the power to suspend or revoke approvals or set, vary or revoke conditions of project approval during the current CEC approval period? If so:	
- Was there adequate documentation?	
- Is there evidence that a documented process has been followed?	
. AEC Post-Approval Responsibilities	
Compliance	
Have all manipulations performed during the current approval period adhered to the AEC approval conditions? If not:	
- Has the non-compliance been documented adequately?	
- Have referral procedures been followed for follow-up action?	
Appropriate Qualifications	
Have all manipulations been undertaken by appropriately qualified personnel, or under the direct	

supervision of appropriately qualified personnel?

the AEC to prevent any other such incidents?

Euthanasia for tissue collection/dissection

of tissue collection/dissection?

Is there adequate documentation for adverse events?

Were adverse events dealt with promptly and appropriately in accordance with the Act?

Were adverse events reported to the AEC, and how were the outcomes of these events managed by

Does the AEC have a clear understanding of impact grading of proposed and approved projects?

Does the AEC have adequate policies and procedures in place regarding euthanasia for the purposes

Adverse Events

Grading

6. Conduct of Experiments

The AEC should consider these as part of the project approval process. Application forms that guide ap asking the appropriate questions will be of assistance to both the applicant and the AEC.	plicants by
Limiting pain and distress	
Did the investigator consider any potentially adverse effects of a manipulation, and have a plan for managing these?	
Were pilot studies carried out (for example, where the manipulation was to be carried out for the first time, or to confirm new techniques, or to refine humane endpoints)?	
Is there evidence that animals were adequately monitored during the experiment for evidence of pain and distress?	
- Were score sheets used to documents these observations?	_
- Were appropriate indicators used? (for example, abnormalities in behaviour, movement, sound, heart and respiration rate, appetite, body weight, temperature, defaecation and urination, reproduction etc.)	
- Were unexpected outcomes of manipulation reported to the AEC?	
Were the anaesthetics/analgesics and or tranquillising agents used appropriate to the species? (Refer to "Analgesic Best Practice for the Use of Animals in Research and Teaching")	
Were study endpoints developed that minimised pain or distress? (See section 7.4.3 of the Good Practice Guide for suggestions.)	
Was the method of euthanasia appropriate?	
Surgery	
Was there evidence of careful planning for surgical procedures?	
- Was there pre-operative examination?	
- Was there pre-operative fasting?	
- Was administration of pre-operative antibiotic or analgesic considered?	
- Was three evidence of use of experienced surgeons?	
- Was there evidence of aseptic technique for recovery surgery?	
- Was there appropriate post-operative care, with duties of staff clearly defined, emergency procedures established and records maintained?	
More severe or controversial manipulations	
If the AEC approved the use of neuromuscular blocking agents or electroimmobilisation, was special care taken to prevent pain or distress?	
If animal models of human diseases are used was the most appropriate species selected, and was care taken to minimise pain and distress?	
If procedures have been approved that involve modifying an animal's behaviour, was positive reinforcement used? If noxious stimuli were used were these as mild as possible and used for the minimum time necessary?	
For toxicological testing proposals were <i>in vitro</i> methods used for initial screening tests, and did the procedures involving animals follow internationally accepted methods?	
For work involving hazards, was the advice of the organisation's biohazards committee sought, and were appropriate procedures for containment, disposal and decontamination established?	

Is work involving the manipulation of animals' genetic material carried out in accordance with MPI requirements?	
Is there evidence that where tumours were induced, the site was chosen carefully, animals monitored carefully, and the endpoint was appropriately observed?	
Where lesions of the CNS are a feature of a project, have special caging and animal care been provided as specified?	
For work involving the withholding of food or water, was the monitoring and care provided within those limits specified and approved by the AEC?	
For work in which a foetus is affected, was cognisance taken of the requirements, pain and distress of both the mother and foetus? Where new-born or newly hatched animals are used, were appropriate care and facilities provided?	
For research work on pain and its relief, was the use of painful stimuli limited to levels which do not distress humans, limited to the minimum time necessary, and were the animals given pain relief, or able to escape from the painful stimuli?	

7. Animal Facilities

Management of Animal Facilities	
Does every facility (including those of parented organisations) have appropriate policies and procedures approved by the AEC to ensure that all animal facilities and practices are in accordance with good practice and scientific knowledge (as recommended by NAEAC in its Good Practice Guide for the Use of Animals in Research, Testing and Teaching) and to the relevant codes of welfare issued under section 75 of the Act?	
The following should be considered for each animal facility.	
Person-in-charge	·
Name of Supervisor/Manager:	
Qualifications and experience:	
Is a veterinary consultant used? Name:	
Does the person-in-charge have responsibilities for the management of the day-to-day animal care, including weekend coverage?	
Do they contribute to the development and maintenance of the organisation's animal care policies and procedures?	
Do they ensure that there is reliable monitoring of the well-being of all animals?	
Do they ensure that all ill or injured animals are treated promptly, that the cause of death is investigated for animals that die unexpectedly, and that unexpected death or illness is documented?	
Do they ensure that staff wear protective clothing and maintain high standards of personal hygiene in animal areas?	
Do they receive information from the AEC regarding project work? (for example, copies of applications and approvals)	
Do they maintain liaison with investigators regarding all issues relating to animals involved in project work?	
Staff	
What are the number and qualifications/experience of animal care staff?	

Are the staff numbers sufficient for the numbers and types of animals?	
Are these staff:	
- Trained? - Competent?	
Development of SOPs	
Is every facility and its standard operating procedures fit for purpose?	
Small Colony Procedures	
Are there documented procedures for the management of holding and breeding facilities?	
Is there a regular schedule of cage, equipment and facility sanitisation?	
Are there adequate records for the source, care, allocation, movement between locations, use and disposal of all animals?	
Are there adequate records of the genetic constitution, fertility, fecundity, morbidity and mortality of animal breeding groups?	
Are there adequate records of the health status and disease diagnosis (that is, frequency of testing/observation, and comprehensiveness)?	
Immediate Environment of Animals	
Are cages/pens or containers suitable for the animals housed in them?	
Do cages/pens or containers allow for species-specific behavioural and environmental requirements?	
Are animals kept in single housing, and if so, for what reasons?	
Is food and water readily available?	
Is there protection from spread of disease and pests?	
Can animals be observed easily?	
Are nesting materials provided, and are these appropriate?	
Are the cages of smooth durable construction?	
Are the cages clean and easily cleaned?	
Are the cages well maintained?	
Are the cages escape-proof?	
Do the cages protect from climatic extremes?	
Are the cages designed to prevent injury to animals?	
Are the cages large enough for the animals to turn, lie down, stand up etc.?	
Is the population density appropriate for the species, age, environment etc.?	
Are wire-floored cages in use? If so:	
- Are these used only when essential to the project?	
- Is a solid resting area available?	
Enrichment	
Are animals provided with opportunities/stimuli to promote expression of normal behaviour (for example, is recompense made for unnatural environments)?	

Husbandry Procedures	
Do animals receive appropriate, uncontaminated and nutritionally adequate food in sufficient quantities?	
If animals are fed in groups, are there sufficient feeding points to avoid undue competition for food?	
Is suitable drinking water constantly available?	
Are husbandry procedures, which are not part of project work, such as immunisations, carried out by competent personnel?	
Animal Identification	
Are all animals identified?	
Is the identification method reliable?	
Does the identification method cause minimal stress?	
Disposal of Animal Carcasses and Waste	
Is appropriate provision made for the prompt and sanitary disposal of animal carcasses and waste material?	
Environmental Factors	
For either indoor or outdoor facilities, consider the following environmental factors for the species house	ed there.
Is the ventilation appropriate for the species?	
Are the temperature and humidity appropriate for the species?	
Is the odour control appropriate for the species?	
Is the noise level appropriate for the species?	
Is the light intensity and/or light cycles appropriate for the species?	
Outdoor Holding Facilities	
For each outdoor holding facility, consider the following aspects and their compatibility with the needs o housed there.	f the species
Is the facility the appropriate size for the species?	
Is there shelter provided?	
Is there water provided?	
Does the facility meet species-specific needs?	
Is the facility compliant with established best practice for the species (that is, does it conform to the code of welfare for that species)?	
Indoor Housing	
For each indoor housing facility, consider the following aspects and their compatibility with the needs of housed there.	the species
Is the building maintained in good repair?	
Is the building kept clean and tidy?	
Is the building compatible with the needs of the animals to be housed there?	
Is the building compatible with the projects to be undertaken?	
Is the building designed and operated to control environmental factors appropriately?	
Is the building designed and operated to limit contamination associated with the keeping of animals, delivery of food, water and bedding, and the entry of people and other animals?	

Is there a pest control programme to exclude vermin?	
Are there adequate storage areas for food, bedding and equipment?	
Is the choice of detergents, disinfectants and pesticides appropriate?	
Are cleaning practices monitored to ensure effective sanitation? Explain: - what parameters are monitored? - what records are kept?	
Is there a reticulated water supply?	
Is there adequate waste-water control and drainage?	
Are there contingency plans for emergencies such as flooding, fire, or a breakdown in lighting, heating, cooling or ventilation?	
Monitoring Animal Facilities	
Does the AEC undertake annual monitoring of all animal facilities for approved projects, including the monitoring the approved projects of parented organisations?	
Acquisition of Animals	
Are any animals collected from their natural habitats? If so:	
- Have measures consistent with s.6.7 of the NAEAC Good Practice Guide been followed?	
Are any animals obtained from other countries? If so:	
- Have the measures consistent with s.6.8 of the Guide been followed?	
Are any of the animals transported? If so:	
- Have the measures consistent with in s.6.9 of the Guide been followed?	Ш
On admission of new animals, are quarantine provisions undertaken consistent with s.6.16 of the	
Guide?	
- Are animals quarantined?	
- Is animal health evaluated and treatment provided if necessary?	
- Are animals acclimatised to the new facility?	
- Is commencement of experiments delayed until the completion of quarantine?	
Transportation of Animals	
Have animals been transported under species appropriate, humane and hygienic conditions at all times?	
Has the code of welfare for Transport within New Zealand been followed?	
Housing of Animals	
Have the policies and procedures that ensure that where animals are housed, their health is safeguarded and that undue stress is avoided been followed? If not:	
- Has the non-compliance been documented adequately?	Ш
Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)	
Has the AEC approved SOPs relating to procedures, care and use of animals? If so:	
- Does the AEC undertake a scheduled review of SOPs?	

Sick and Injured Animals	
Have any animals become sick or injured during the current CEC approval period? If so:	
- Has the non-compliance been documented adequately?	
Monitoring of AEC Approvals	
Appropriate Training	
Has the AEC ensured that all people manipulating animals are trained and competent to carry out the procedures?	
Is there an appropriate training programme for the types of manipulation that are used?	
Frequency of Monitoring	
Has the AEC kept adequate records detailing the frequency of monitoring visits by the AEC or its nominee during the current CEC approval period?	
Are these records in accordance with the CEC?	
Monitoring of Manipulations Grade C-E	
Has the AEC kept adequate records detailing the frequency of monitoring visits by the AEC or its nominee during the current CEC approval period?	
Are these records in accordance with the CEC?	
Monitoring by Nominated Veterinarians	
Did the AEC utilise the expertise of a veterinarian nominated as representing the AEC during the current CEC approval period?	
Are these monitoring visits documented and outcomes recorded following the visit?	
End of Approval Reporting	
Is the AEC informed of the outcome of approved projects at the completion of the project?	
Was an appropriate End of Approval report received for every approved project during the current CEC approval period?	
End of Approval Grading	
Was it necessary for the AEC to reassess the impact gradings of approved projects at the completion of the project?	
End of Approval Statistics	
Did the AEC receive animal use statistics at the completion of every project during the current CEC approval period?	
Non-Compliance	
Were any incidences of non-compliance detected/reported during the current CEC approval period? If so:	
- Was there adequate documentation, consideration by the whole AEC and appropriate escalation processes?	
Arrangements for External Parties to Use the CEC and AEC	
Parenting Arrangements	
Did the AEC undertake any parenting agreements during the current CEC approval period? If so:]
- Is this in accordance with the CEC and was MPI notified?	