



Risk Management Proposal:

Amendments to the import health standards

Actinidia Plants for Planting

Citrus Plants for Planting

Importation of Nursery Stock

Prunus Plants for Planting

Seeds for Sowing

Prepared for public consultation
by Plant Germplasm Imports
Animal and Plant Health Directorate

April 2023

Disclaimer

While every effort has been made to ensure the information in this publication is accurate, the Ministry for Primary Industries does not accept any responsibility or liability for error of fact, omission, interpretation or opinion that may be present, nor for the consequences of any decisions based on this information.

This publication is also available on the Ministry for Primary Industries website at <http://www.mpi.govt.nz/news-and-resources/publications/>

© Crown Copyright – Ministry for Primary Industries

Contents

Page

1	General information	1
1.1	Purpose	1
1.2	Who should read this?	1
1.3	How the amended standards will affect you	1
1.4	What is changing	2
1.5	Why have we done this work	2
1.6	What you can do	2
1.7	Legal notice about your feedback and Official Information Act 1982	3
2	Scope of this review	3
3	Proposed changes to risk management measures	3
4	Rationale for removing biological indexing	7
5	Feasibility of the proposed changes to the standard	7
6	References	8
7	Appendix - proposed changes to risk management measures	10
7.1	Proposed changes to the standard <i>Actinidia Plants for Planting</i>	10
7.2	Proposed changes to the standard <i>Citrus Plants for Planting</i>	10
7.3	Proposed changes to the standard <i>Importation of Nursery Stock</i>	10
7.4	Proposed changes to the standard <i>Prunus Plants for Planting</i>	13
7.5	Proposed changes to the standard <i>Seeds for Sowing</i>	14

1 General information

1.1 Purpose

- (1) This document explains the amendments to the plant germplasm import health standards below. We are proposing to remove requirements for testing by biological indexing¹ and remove some pests from the following standards:
- a) *Actinidia Plants for Planting*
 - b) *Citrus Plants for Planting*
 - c) *Importation of Nursery Stock*
 - d) *Prunus Plants for Planting*
 - e) *Seeds for Sowing*

1.2 Who should read this?

- (2) Read this if you have an interest in the commodities listed in table 1, or are:
- a) a New Zealand importer of plants for planting or seeds for sowing,
 - b) an exporter, to or from New Zealand, of plants for planting or seeds for sowing,
 - c) a national plant protection organisation.

Table 1: Commodities affected by this proposal

Commodity	Genus or species
Plants for Planting (nursery stock)	<i>Actinidia</i> , <i>Citrus</i> , <i>Fragaria</i> , <i>Fortunella</i> , <i>Malus</i> , <i>Olea</i> , <i>Prunus</i> , <i>Rubus</i> , <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> , <i>Vaccinium</i> , <i>Vitis</i>
Seeds for Sowing	<i>Actinidia</i> , <i>Fragaria</i> , <i>Malus</i> , <i>Prunus</i> , <i>Pyrus</i> , <i>Ribes</i> , <i>Rubus</i> , <i>Vaccinium</i> , <i>Vitis</i>

1.3 How the amended standards will affect you

- (3) Importers will have some benefits.
- a) Your processes will not change. Post-entry quarantine will still be required, and the plants will still be tested for many pests. Plants that were previously tested onshore with biological indexing will now be tested with ELISA or PCR.
 - b) We are removing some pests for *Fragaria*, *Olea*, *Prunus*, *Rubus*, *Solanum tuberosum*, and *Vitis* plants for planting, so you will no longer need to pay for tests for those pests.
 - c) Removing indexing as a mandatory test will free up space in Level 3B post-entry quarantine. With more space available, you may be able to import more varieties because quarantine space will only be used for imported plants (not indicator plants for indexing).
- (4) Nothing is changing for exporters who export plants to New Zealand or national plant protection organisations (NPPOs).

¹ Biological indexing is testing based on the ability of indicator plants to produce symptoms when inoculated with a pathogen using mechanical inoculation (herbaceous indexing) or grafting (graft indexing) and may be used to detect plant pathogens.

- (5) Nothing is changing for MPI-approved offshore facilities. MPI-approved offshore facilities can continue using biological indexing.
- (6) Nothing is changing for horticulturalists and New Zealand exporters. Your industry will continue to experience the same level of protection from biosecurity risks that it does now.

1.4 What is changing

- (7) We propose removing biological indexing as a diagnostic tool from all the plant germplasm standards.
- (8) Indexing will be:
 - a) removed as a complimentary test to ELISA or PCR for 78 pests (where existing standards require biological indexing to be done with ELISA or PCR testing),
 - b) replaced by testing using only ELISA or PCR for 44 pests (where existing standards require biological indexing as the only test method),
 - c) removed as a test for one *Fragaria* disease of unknown aetiology (which will be managed by inspecting plants for symptoms).
- (9) We will also remove all guidance and sections about biological indexing requirements.
- (10) For *Fragaria*, *Olea*, *Prunus*, *Rubus*, *Solanum tuberosum*, and *Vitis* plants for planting, we are removing 25 pests from the plants for planting standards. We no longer consider these pests biosecurity risks on this pathway, and we will no longer require plants to be tested for these pests.

1.5 Why have we done this work

- (11) We propose removing biological indexing from the standards because newer ELISA and PCR tests are now able to sufficiently detect the pests listed in this proposal, removing the need for biological indexing. This will not change the level of biosecurity risk.
- (12) In addition, removing biological indexing and using ELISA and PCR testing alone will make more Level 3B post-entry quarantine space available. Currently one third of Level 3B space is used for growing indicator plants for indexing.

1.6 What you can do

- (13) If you are happy with the changes, you do not need to do anything. If you are interested in the details, read on.
- (14) If you want to comment on the amended standards, we are accepting feedback until 5pm 12/05/2023. If your feedback arrives after the closing date, we will hold it on file and consider it the next time we review these standards. Email your feedback to PlantImports@mpi.govt.nz.
- (15) We are particularly interested in feedback on these questions:
 - a) Are our proposals feasible?

- b) Do you have knowledge or scientific evidence that we would need to consider when managing the risk of:
 - i) the 25 pests being removed from the standards, or
 - ii) the *Fragaria* disease of unknown aetiology with basic measures?
 - c) Do you foresee any consequences from removing biological indexing that we have not addressed in this proposal?
- (16) We base our requirements on the best scientific information available to us at the time, but knowledge is always changing. If you know of more up-to-date scientific information that could change our conclusions, include that information in your feedback.
- (17) This is a public consultation. We add all feedback we receive to a document (review of submissions) that includes our responses and details of any changes we make based on feedback. We then publish the review of submissions on our website. This means you can see what other people have said to us and find out what we have done about it. It also means your own feedback, along with your name and organisation, will be online and may be read by anyone. See the legal notice below.

1.7 Legal notice about your feedback and Official Information Act 1982

- (18) Your submission is public information, and it is MPI policy to publish submissions and a review of these submissions on the MPI website. Submissions may also be the subject of requests for information under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA). The OIA specifies that information is to be made available to requesters unless there are sufficient grounds for withholding it, as set out in the OIA. Submitters may wish to indicate grounds for withholding specific information contained in their submission, such as information being commercially sensitive or personal. Any decision to withhold information requested under the OIA is reviewable by the Ombudsman.

2 Scope of this review

- (19) This review only addresses the pests for which the standards require biological indexing, and all related biological indexing information in the standards. This review has not considered any other pests or requirements already set up in the standards.
- (20) We have reconsidered how we manage risk from all pests currently managed by biological indexing.
- (21) We have not reassessed the appropriate level of protection in the standards. We are still open to considering the validity of indexing as a diagnostic tool on a case-by-case basis, including from MPI-approved offshore facilities.

3 Proposed changes to risk management measures

- (22) The appendix outlines all the pests for which the proposed risk management changes relate to. For 122 of 148 pests listed in the appendix, we have not reassessed the biosecurity risk. This is because we are proposing a change in specific measures that provides an equivalent level of protection on the import pathway. See the appendix for the amendment details for each pest.

- (23) For the experimental pests on the pest list for *Solanum tuberosum*, we propose removing the 13 pests that have indexing listed against them. This is because they are experimental pests only and we do not have any information to suggest that they can naturally infect *Solanum tuberosum*. See the appendix for the amendment details for each pest.
- (24) We reassessed the biosecurity risk of 13 pests in the *Importation of Nursery Stock and Prunus Plants for Planting* standards. This is because the risk of those pests has changed since the measures were originally put in the standards. Table 2 on the next page summarises the characteristics of those 13 pests and the proposed changes to how we manage risk.

Table 2: Pests we have reassessed

Commodity	Pest	Proposed risk management and impact
Fragaria	Strawberry feather leaf disease	Remove from the standard This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact from this disease of unknown aetiology is considered to be negligible because there is little information about the disease, and none that helps confirm aetiology. There has been no original research published for this disease since 1970, suggesting this pest has negligible relevance today.
Fragaria	Strawberry latent C virus	Remove from the standard This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of this virus is considered negligible because very little is known about the impacts of this virus in horticulture since the 1990s. There is no new information published since the 1990s, suggesting this pest has negligible relevance today. The European Food Safety Authority has concluded that this virus does not have the potential to be a quarantine pest or a regulated non-quarantine pest, because it does not fulfil the ISPM 11 and 21 criteria for a clear identity (EFSA 2014).
Fragaria	Strawberry lethal decline disease	Manage by basic measures only While the aetiology of strawberry lethal decline is not confirmed, it is likely a phytoplasma (CABI 2019). If it is a phytoplasma, generic PCR testing completed for phytoplasmas on <i>Fragaria</i> is likely sufficient to detect the pathogen (EPPO 2018). However, if the pest is not a phytoplasma, we expect growing season inspection is proportionate with the risk, because the impact of this disease on fruit production is considered minor (CABI 2019) and, while information on symptom expression is sparse, the name suggests symptoms would be visible.
Olea	Olive vein yellow virus	Remove from the standard This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The likelihood of this virus entering New Zealand on <i>Olea</i> plants is negligible because this virus has only been reported once in 1995. This virus has negligible relevance today because Faggioli and Barba (1995) isolated the virus from a single symptomatic tree and were unable to identify other symptomatic trees or isolate the virus from any asymptomatic trees.
Prunus	Cherry Hungarian rasp leaf virus	Remove from the standard This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of this virus is considered negligible because there are a couple of reports about pest symptoms (Nemeth 1986; Ogawa et al. 1995), but the reports have very little information on impacts. This virus has taxonomic uncertainty, as Nemeth (1986) indicated that it is probably a strain of prune dwarf virus, which is non-regulated in New Zealand. There is no genome sequence for this pest making identification and verification of tests difficult.

Commodity	Pest	Proposed risk management and impact
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Peach enation virus</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peach enation virus is not a valid name for a virus species (ICTV 2023). Peach enation virus is likely a strain of <i>Prunus necrotic ringspot virus</i> (Rankovic 1970). EFSA considers this virus to be an undescribed <i>Nepovirus</i> based on later description by Kishi et al. (1973) (European Panel on Plant Health et al. 2019). However, Kishi et al. (1973) do not provide information other than morphological description, which supports the hypothesis that PEV is a strain or synonym of <i>Prunus necrotic ringspot virus</i> (which is already tested for by ELISA or PCR) in the genus <i>Ilarvirus</i>.
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Black raspberry necrosis virus</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be managed on the nursery stock standard because this pest is present in New Zealand (Veerakone et al. 2015) and has been deregulated.</p>
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Bramble yellow mosaic virus</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <p>The likelihood of entry for this virus is negligible because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it has only been reported once from <i>Rubus rigidus</i> in South Africa in the 1970s (Engelbrecht 1976), suggesting it has negligible relevance today, and; it is only known to infect <i>Rubus rigidus</i>, which is not eligible for import into New Zealand.
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Raspberry yellow spot disease</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No causal organism has been identified for this disease, and because a type isolate does not exist it is impossible to identify and characterise the organism. There is no evidence of an association of this disease, its impacts or association with the commodity other than mentions such as those in Martin et al. (2013) which do not provide any evidence or reference to original research or reports.
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Rubus Chinese seed borne virus</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of this virus is considered negligible because there is no information on impact for this virus. The likelihood of entry into New Zealand is considered negligible because this virus has only been isolated once from a single, symptomless plant of an unknown <i>Rubus</i> species in quarantine in the UK (Barbara et al. 1985). The plant died before it could be propagated, and the virus was not detected in any other material. No genome sequence is available.
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Thimbleberry ringspot virus</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of this virus is considered negligible because there is no information on impact for this virus. The likelihood of entry into New Zealand is considered negligible because this virus has only been observed in <i>Rubus parviflorus</i> at a single site in Canada in 1958 (Martin et al. 2013).

Commodity	Pest	Proposed risk management and impact
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Wineberry latent virus</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because the evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The impact of this pest is negligible because the natural impacts are not known. • The likelihood of entry into New Zealand is negligible because this virus has only been identified once in a single symptomless plant of <i>Rubus phoenicolasius</i> growing in an experimental planting in Scotland in 1977 (DVP 1985; Martin et al. 2013).
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>LN33 stem grooving</i>	<p>Remove from the standard</p> <p>This pest should not be regulated on this pathway because it is insufficiently defined. The evidence available (summarised below) does not suggest this pest poses a significant biosecurity risk on the pathway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The impacts of the disease are typically reported to be low or of lesser significance or uncertain (Lázár and Bisztray 2011). • The causative agent has not been isolated or identified. • The disease is typically only reported as part of grapevine rugose wood or rugose wood complex (Moskovitz et al. 2008). Therefore, the disease is likely caused by a species in the genus <i>Vitivirus</i> (family Betaflexiviridae) (Moradi et al. 2017), such as grapevine rupestris stem pitting-associated virus (GRSPaV) and grapevine virus A and grapevine virus B (GVA and GVB) (Fajardo et al. 2020), which are present in New Zealand (Veerakone et al. 2015), and grapevine virus D, which is already regulated on the pathway and managed by a PCR test.

4 Rationale for removing biological indexing

- (25) We no longer need to rely on biological indexing as a diagnostic tool onshore in post-entry quarantine. Since MPI started using biological indexing, scientists have developed diagnostic tests (ELISA and PCR) that sufficiently detect the pests listed in this proposal.
- a) Previously, some pests were managed by biological indexing and “ELISA or PCR”. This was because the ELISA and PCR tests that MPI had did not provide sufficient coverage for some pests. However, MPI’s Plant Health and Environment Laboratory now has ELISA and PCR tests that provide sufficient coverage to manage the pests in this proposal without using biological indexing.

5 Feasibility of the proposed changes to the standard

- (26) We expect the proposed measures to be practical and feasible for importers because we are only changing what happens onshore.
- a) When samples are taken for testing, this will only happen for ELISA and PCR. The sampling for indexing will no longer need to happen, and the new ELISA and PCR test samples will happen at the same time as existing sampling.
- (27) The proposed measures will also be practical and feasible for MPI’s Plant Health and Environment Laboratory. This proposal can be implemented immediately because the laboratory has capacity and capability to conduct all of the required ELISA and PCR tests².
- (28) The change in cost is expected to be feasible. In general, the proposed changes will be cheaper because under the current requirements PCR testing can be bulked while biological indexing cannot. However, cost feasibility now is not truly representative because diagnostic testing costs will be part of an upcoming consultation from MPI about post-entry quarantine.
- (29) MPI-approved facilities that use biological indexing as part of their risk management system currently will still be recognised as managing biosecurity risk to our appropriate level of protection (which has not changed as part of this amendment).
- a) However, any agreements where indexing would happen onshore will be replaced by ELISA or PCR if not already conducted offshore.

² Five tests are yet to be implemented. The laboratory is currently working on PCR tests for three pests of *Olea* and two pests of *Solanum tuberosum*. The PCR tests are expected to be ready to use before they are needed in post-entry quarantine.

6 References

- Barbara, D J; Ashby, S C; McNamara, D G (1985) Host range, purification and some properties of rubus Chinese seed-borne virus. *Annals of Applied Biology* 107: 45–55.
- CABI (2019) Strawberry lethal decline phytoplasma (Publication no. 13307). *CABI Compendium*. <https://doi.org/10.1079/cabicompendium.13307>. Accessed March 2023.
- DVP (1985) Wineberry latent virus. DPV no. 304. *DPVweb.net Descriptions of Plant Viruses*. <https://www.dpvweb.net/dpv/showdpv/?dpvno=304>. Accessed March 2023.
- EFSA (2014) Scientific Opinion on the pest categorisation of strawberry latent C virus. *EFSA Journal* 12(7): 3771.
- Engelbrecht, D J (1976) Some properties of a yellow mosaic virus isolated from bramble. *Acta Horticulturae* 66: 79-84.
- EPPO (2018) PM 7/133 (1) Generic detection of phytoplasmas. *EPPO Bulletin* 48(3): 414–424.
- European Panel on Plant Health (2019) Pest categorisation of non-EU viruses and viroids of *Prunus* L. *EFSA Journal* 17(9): e05735.
- Faggioli, F. and Barba, M. (1995). AN ELONGATED VIRUS ISOLATED FROM OLIVE (OLEA EUROPEA L.). *Acta Hortic.* 386, 593-600 DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1995.386.87 <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1995.386.87>
- Fajardo, T V M; Bertocchi, A A; Nickel, O (2020) Determination of the grapevine virome by high-throughput sequencing and grapevine viruses detection in Serra Gaúcha, Brazil. *Revista Ceres* 67(2): 156–163.
- ICTV (2023) International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. <https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/> Accessed 13 March 2023.
- Kishi, K; Abiko, K; Takanashi, K (1973) Studies on the virus diseases of stone fruit. *Japanese Journal of Phytopathology* 39(5): 373–380.
- Lázár, J; Bisztray, G D (2011) Virus and virus-like diseases of grapevine in Hungary. *International Journal of Horticultural Science* 17(3): 25–36.
- Martin, R R; McFarlane, S; Sabanadzovic, S; Quito, D; Poudel, B; Tzanetakis, I E (2013) Viruses and virus diseases of Rubus. *Plant Disease* 97: 168–182.
- Moradi, R; Koolivand, D; Eini, O; Hajizadeh, M (2017) Phylogenetic analysis of two Iranian grapevine virus A isolates using coat protein gene sequence. *Iranian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding* 6(1): 48–57.
- Moskovitz, Y; Goszczynski, D E; Bir, L; Fingstein, A; Czosnek, H; Mawassi, M (2008) Sequencing and assembly of a full-length infectious clone of grapevine virus B and its infectivity on herbaceous plants. *Archives of Virology* 153(2): 323–328.
- Nemeth, M (1986) *Virus, Mycoplasma and Rickettsia Diseases of Fruit Trees*. Martinus Nijhoff; Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Ogawa, J M; Zehr, E I; Bird, G W; Ritchie, D F; Uriu, K; Uyemoto, J K (1995) *Compendium of stone fruit diseases*. American Phytopathological Society; St Paul, Minnesota.
- Rankovic, M (1970) Study and identification of the virus causing enations on peach leaves. *Zaht. Bilja*. 21(110–111): 335–356.

Veerakone, S; Tang, J; Ward, L; Liefting, L; Perez-Egusquiza, Z; Lebas, B; Delmiglio, C; Fletcher, J; Guy, P (2015) A review of the plant virus, viroid, liberibacter and phytoplasma records for New Zealand. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 44: 463–514.

7 Appendix - proposed changes to risk management measures

7.1 Proposed changes to the standard *Actinidia Plants for Planting*

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Actinidia</i>	<i>Pelargonium zonate spot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR

7.2 Proposed changes to the standard *Citrus Plants for Planting*

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus bark cracking viroid (Citrus viroid IV)</i>	Biological indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus bent leaf viroid (Citrus viroid I)</i>	Biological indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus chlorotic dwarf-associated virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing or PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus psorosis virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing or PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus sudden death-associated virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing or PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus viroid V</i>	Biological indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Citrus viroid VI</i>	Biological indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Hop stunt viroid (Citrus viroid II)</i>	Biological indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Citrus, Fortunella</i>	<i>Satsuma dwarf virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing or PCR	PCR

7.3 Proposed changes to the standard *Importation of Nursery Stock*

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Fragaria chiloensis latent virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry chlorotic fleck virus</i>	Graft indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry feather leaf disease</i>	Graft indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry latent C virus</i>	Graft indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry latent ringspot virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry lethal decline disease</i>	Graft indexing	Basic measures only
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry mild yellow edge-associated virus</i>	Graft indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry pallidosis associated virus</i>	Graft indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry pseudo mild yellow edge virus</i>	Graft indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry vein banding virus</i>	Graft indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Tobacco necrosis virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Tobacco streak virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing	PCR

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Tomato bushy stunt virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Malus</i>	<i>Cherry rasp leaf virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Malus</i>	<i>Tomato bushy stunt virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Malus</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Cherry leaf roll virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Olive latent 1 virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Olive latent 2 virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Olive latent ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Olive leaf yellowing-associated virus</i>	Woody indexing	PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Olive vein yellow virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Phytoplasmas</i>	Woody indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Olea</i>	<i>Strawberry latent ringspot virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Black raspberry necrosis virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Country freedom or graft indexing and PCR	Remove from the standard
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Blackberry calico virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing	Country freedom or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Blackberry chlorotic ringspot virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing and PCR	Country freedom or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Bramble yellow mosaic virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Cherry rasp leaf virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	Country freedom or ELISA or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Raspberry leaf curl virus</i>	Country freedom or graft indexing	Country freedom or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	Country freedom or ELISA or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Raspberry yellow spot disease</i>	Country freedom or graft indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Rubus Chinese seed borne virus</i>	Country freedom or Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Rubus chlorotic mottle virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing	Country freedom or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Rubus yellow net virus</i>	Country freedom or graft indexing and PCR	Country freedom or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Thimbleberry ringspot virus</i>	Country freedom or graft indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Tobacco necrosis virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing	Country freedom or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	Country freedom or ELISA or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Wineberry latent virus</i>	Country freedom or herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Andean potato latent tymovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Andean potato mottle comovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Arracacha A nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Arracacha B nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Asparagus 3 potexvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Cassava green mottle nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Cherry leaf roll nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Eggplant mosaic tymovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Eggplant mottled dwarf nucleorhabdovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Melilotus mosaic potyvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Papaya mosaic potexvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Pelargonium line pattern carmovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Pepino mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Pepper veinal mottle potyvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato black ringspot nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato mop-top furovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato T trichovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato virus U nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato virus Y potyvirus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato yellow dwarf nucleorhabdovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Potato yellow mosaic begomovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Sowbane mosaic sobemovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tobacco etch potyvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tobacco necrosis necrovirus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tobacco rattle tobnavirus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tobacco streak ilarvirus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tobacco stunt varicovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tomato busy stunt tombusvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tomato chlorotic dwarf viroid</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tomato leaf curl begomovirus – New Delhi</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tomato top necrosis nepovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Tomato yellow mosaic begomovirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	<i>Wild potato mosaic potyvirus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Blueberry leaf mottle virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Blueberry scorch virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Blueberry shock virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Peach rosette mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Tobacco streak virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium macrocarpon</i>	<i>Blueberry scorch virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium macrocarpon</i>	<i>Tobacco streak virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Cherry leaf roll virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine Bulgarian latent virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine chrome mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine deformation virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine fanleaf virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>LN33 stem grooving</i>	Woody indexing or green indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Peach rosette mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Sowbane mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Strawberry latent ringspot virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR

7.4 Proposed changes to the standard *Prunus* Plants for Planting

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>American plum line pattern virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Apple stem grooving virus</i> [Prunus-infecting strain]	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Apricot latent virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Carnation Italian ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry Hungarian rasp leaf virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry leaf roll virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry mottle leaf virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry rasp leaf virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry twisted leaf associated virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Myrobalan latent ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Peach enation virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	Remove from the standard
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Peach mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Peach rosette mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Plum pox virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Sowbane mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Tomato bushy stunt virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR

7.5 Proposed changes to the standard *Seeds for Sowing*

Commodity	Pest	Current requirement	Proposed requirement
<i>Actinidia</i>	<i>Apple stem grooving virus</i> [Actinidia infecting strain]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Fragaria chiloensis latent virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Strawberry latent ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Tobacco streak virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Fragaria</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Malus</i>	<i>Apple scar skin viroid</i>	Woody indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Malus</i>	<i>Tomato bushy stunt virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and PCR	PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry leaf roll virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Cherry rasp leaf virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Plum pox virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Prunus necrotic ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Prunus</i>	<i>Tomato bushy stunt virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Pyrus</i>	<i>Tomato bushy stunt virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Ribes</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Ribes</i>	<i>Tobacco rattle virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Raspberry ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Blueberry leaf mottle virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Blueberry shock virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Peach rosette mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine Bulgarian latent virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine chrome mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing	PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Grapevine fanleaf virus</i> [strains not in New Zealand]	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Peach rosette mosaic virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR
<i>Vitis</i>	<i>Tomato ringspot virus</i>	Herbaceous indexing and ELISA or PCR	ELISA or PCR